SECTION 2: QUESTIONS FOR RESPONSE

Please indicate your views by providing comments as appropriate. Where there is insufficient space, please use additional sheets of paper as necessary.

HKEx's Proposal 1: Revise HKEx Stress Testing Assumptions

Questions				
1.		Do you support the proposed revision of the Price Movement assumptions n stress testing?		
	$ \sqrt{} $	Yes		
		No		
		Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:		
2.		you support the proposed revision of the Counterparty Default mption in stress testing?		
		Yes		
		No		
		Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:		

HKEx's Proposal 2: Introduce Margining and Dynamic Guarantee Fund in HKSCC

Questions				
3.	Doy	you agree with the proposed margining arrangements at HKSCC?		
		Yes		
		No		
		Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:		
4.	Doy	you agree with the proposed Dynamic GF model at HKSCC?		
	V	Yes		
		No		
		Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:		

HKEx's Proposal 3: Revise HKCC Reserve Fund Calculation

Qu	estio	ns
5.	Do	Yes No Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:
6.	Do : of C	you support the use of HKCC Contingent Advance in relieving burden Ps? Yes No Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:
7.	What is your view on allowing RF contribution to be counted as liquid capital? Will this help your company in terms of reducing liquid capital funding burden? We shought believe the Contributions to RF and GF should be counted as liquid Capital. It is definitely help to laduce the burden of liquid Capital funding.	

HKEx's Proposal 4: Revise SEOCH Reserve Fund Calculation

Questions					
Qu 8.	Do	you support the proposed revisions to the SEOCH Collateral amption? Yes No Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:			