SECTION 2: QUESTIONS FOR RESPONSE

Please indicate your views by providing comments as appropriate. Where there is insufficient space, please use additional sheets of paper as necessary.

HKEx's Proposal 1: Revise HKEx Stress Testing Assumptions

| Questions | | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1. | Do you support the proposed revision of the Price Movement assumptions in stress testing? | | | | |
| | \checkmark | Yes | | | |
| | | No | | | |
| | | Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2. | | you support the proposed revision of the Counterparty Default mption in stress testing? | | | |
| | V | Yes | | | |
| | | No | | | |
| | | Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

HKEx's Proposal 2: Introduce Margining and Dynamic Guarantee Fund in HKSCC

| Que | Questions | | | | |
|-----|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| 3. | Do | you agree with the proposed margining arrangements at HKSCC? | | | |
| | \checkmark | Yes | | | |
| | | No | | | |
| | | Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question: | | | |
| | | Please consider if the margin requirement can be supported by Bank Guarantee under a ceiling amount concept to eliminate burden on daily operation, regulatory and financial requirements. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 4. | Do | Do you agree with the proposed Dynamic GF model at HKSCC? | | | |
| •• | 7 | Yes | | | |
| | | No | | | |
| | | Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question: | | | |
| | | Please consider if the margin requirement can be supported by Bank Guarantee under a ceiling amount concept to eliminate burden on daily operation, regulatory and financial requirements. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

HKEx's Proposal 3: Revise HKCC Reserve Fund Calculation

| Questions | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| 5. | Do y ☑ | Yes No Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question: | | |
| 6. | Do g of C | you support the use of HKCC Contingent Advance in relieving burden Ps? Yes No Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question: | | |
| 7. | What is your view on allowing RF contribution to be counted as liquid capital? Will this help your company in terms of reducing liquid capital funding burden? Positive. Yes, it will help my company in terms of reducing liquid capital funding burden. | | | |

HKEx's Proposal 4: Revise SEOCH Reserve Fund Calculation

| Questions | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 8. | | you support the proposed revisions to the SEOCH Collateral mption? | | | | |
| | | Yes | | | | |
| | | No | | | | |
| | | Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question: | | | | |
| | | N.A. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |