

## SECTION 2: QUESTIONS FOR RESPONSE

Please indicate your views by providing comments as appropriate. Where there is insufficient space, please use additional sheets of paper as necessary.

### HKEx's Proposal 1: Revise HKEx Stress Testing Assumptions

Questions
<p>1. Do you support the proposed revision of the Price Movement assumptions in stress testing?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:</p> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>
<p>2. Do you support the proposed revision of the Counterparty Default assumption in stress testing?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:</p> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>

## **HKEx's Proposal 2: Introduce Margining and Dynamic Guarantee Fund in HKSCC**

### **Questions**

3. Do you agree with the proposed margining arrangements at HKSCC?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed Dynamic GF model at HKSCC?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### HKEx's Proposal 3: Revise HKCC Reserve Fund Calculation

#### Questions

5. Do you support the proposed revisions to the HKCC Collateral assumption?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

---

---

---

---

---

6. Do you support the use of HKCC Contingent Advance in relieving burden of CPs?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

---

---

---

---

---

7. What is your view on allowing RF contribution to be counted as liquid capital? Will this help your company in terms of reducing liquid capital funding burden?

*We support the view on allowing RF contribution to be counted as liquid capital. We also suggest the additional Guarantee Fund to be treated as liquid assets in FRR.*

#### **HKEx's Proposal 4: Revise SEOCH Reserve Fund Calculation**

##### **Questions**

8. Do you support the proposed revisions to the SEOCH Collateral assumption?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

---

---

---

---

---

---

---