SECTION 2: QUESTIONS FOR RESPONSE

Please indicate your views by providing comments as appropriate. Where there is insufficient space, please use additional sheets of paper as necessary.

HKEx's Proposal 1: Revise HKEx Stress Testing Assumptions

| Questions | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|---|--|--|--|
| 1. | | Do you support the proposed revision of the Price Movement assumptions in stress testing? | | | |
| | \square | Yes | | | |
| | | No | | | |
| | | Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question: | | | |
| | | It seems fair after considering worst case price movement during the most volatile periods. | | | |
| 2. | | you support the proposed revision of the Counterparty Default imption in stress testing? | | | |
| | Ø | Yes | | | |
| | | No | | | |
| | | Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question: | | | |
| | | It envisages the default of more than one participants. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

HKEx's Proposal 2: Introduce Margining and Dynamic Guarantee Fund in HKSCC

| Questions | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|--|--|
| 3. | Do | you agree with the proposed margining arrangements at HKSCC? | | |
| | ✓ | Yes | | |
| | | No | | |
| | | Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question: | | |
| | | The margin measure is based on volatility, which is more accurate than the present situation. | | |
| 4. | Do you agree with the proposed Dynamic GF model at HKSCC? | | | |
| | | Yes | | |
| | Ø | No | | |
| | | Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question: | | |
| | | The CPs may have working capital hardship and the proposed Credit of HK\$ 1mn is a bit low. | | |

HKEx's Proposal 3: Revise HKCC Reserve Fund Calculation

| Ques | tion | | | | |
|------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 5. | 5. Do you support the proposed revisions to the HKCC Collateral assumption? | | | | |
| | ☑ | Yes | | | |
| | | No | | | |
| | | Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question: | | | |
| | | However, if we refer to PROPOSAL 1, Price Movement for HSCEI futures & options should remain unchanged. | | | |
| 6. | | Do you support the use of HKCC Contingent Advance in relieving burden of CPs? | | | |
| | Ø | Yes | | | |
| | | No | | | |
| | | Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question: | | | |
| | | It can reduce the CPs' Dynamic RF Contribution. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 7. | capi | at is your view on allowing RF contribution to be counted as liquid tal? Will this help your company in terms of reducing liquid capital ding burden? | | | |
| | <u>Goo</u> | od idea as it can reduce the funding burden for liquid capital. | | | |
| | | | | | |

HKEx's Proposal 4: Revise SEOCH Reserve Fund Calculation

| Qu | estior | |
|----|--------|---|
| 8. | | you support the proposed revisions to the SEOCH Collateral amption? |
| | ☑ | Yes |
| | | No |
| | | Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question: |
| | | In most cases, it can reduce the CPs' need to contribute Dynamic RF, thus improving the level of liquid capital. |