

SECTION 2: QUESTIONS FOR RESPONSE

Please indicate your views by providing comments as appropriate. Where there is insufficient space, please use additional sheets of paper as necessary.

HKEx's Proposal 1: Revise HKEx Stress Testing Assumptions

Questions

1. Do you support the proposed revision of the Price Movement assumptions in stress testing?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

2. Do you support the proposed revision of the Counterparty Default assumption in stress testing?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

HKEx's Proposal 2: Introduce Margining and Dynamic Guarantee Fund in HKSCC

Questions

3. Do you agree with the proposed margining arrangements at HKSCC?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

We agree to the proposed margining arrangement of \$5M wavier, with subject for the incentive to be increased to \$8-10M.

The increased fund would help to boost the transaction in HK Stock Exchange. It would also help to strengthen the small to medium size brokers by giving them the opportunity to increase their size of the transactions.

The increased of funding to \$8-10M reduces pressure for small to medium size business; and boosts Hong Kong economy.

4. Do you agree with the proposed Dynamic GF model at HKSCC?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

HKEx's Proposal 3: Revise HKCC Reserve Fund Calculation

Questions

5. Do you support the proposed revisions to the HKCC Collateral assumption?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

6. Do you support the use of HKCC Contingent Advance in relieving burden of CPs?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:

7. What is your view on allowing RF contribution to be counted as liquid capital? Will this help your company in terms of reducing liquid capital funding burden?

RF should be counted as liquid capital. The fund, being kept in the exchange, has low risk. It can be liquidized anytime and in a short time. With the help of the RF contribution, it reduces liquid capital funding burden.

The fact that the fund is safe and secured, it helps to reduce liquid capital.

HKEx's Proposal 4: Revise SEOCH Reserve Fund Calculation

Questions

8. Do you support the proposed revisions to the SEOCH Collateral assumption?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:
