SECTION 2: QUESTIONS FOR RESPONSE

Please indicate your views by providing comments as appropriate. Where there is insufficient space, please use additional sheets of paper as necessary.

HKEx's Proposal 1: Revise HKEx Stress Testing Assumptions

Questions				
1.		you support the proposed revision of the Price Movement assumptions ress testing?		
		Yes		
		No		
		Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2.		Do you support the proposed revision of the Counterparty Default assumption in stress testing?		
	\checkmark	Yes		
		No		
		Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

HKEx's Proposal 2: Introduce Margining and Dynamic Guarantee Fund in HKSCC

Questions				
3.	Do you agree with the proposed margining arrangements at HKSCC?			
	\checkmark	Yes		
		No		
		Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:		
		·		
4.	Do y	Yes No Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:		

HKEx's Proposal 3: Revise HKCC Reserve Fund Calculation

Questions			
5.	Do	you support the proposed revisions to the HKCC Collateral assumption?	
		Yes No	
		Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:	
6.	Do j	you support the use of HKCC Contingent Advance in relieving burden Ps?	
	\square	Yes	
		No	
		Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:	
7.	capi func	t is your view on allowing RF contribution to be counted as liquid al? Will this help your company in terms of reducing liquid capitaling burden?	

HKEx's Proposal 4: Revise SEOCH Reserve Fund Calculation

Questions					
	you support the proposed revisions to the SEOCH Collateral imption?				
	Yes				
	No				
	Please provide reasons for your response and include any other suggestions or comments you may have on this question:				