Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201507.pdf

Where	there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.
1.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.91 to require issuers to disclose in their annual reports or ESG reports whether they have complied with the "comply or explain" provisions in the ESG Guide and if they have not, they must give considered reasons in the ESG reports?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
2.	with other CG Code provisions and is a good way to highlight and explain non-compliance. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.91 to require the issuer to report on ESG annually and regarding the same period covered in its annual report?
	⊠ Yes
	■ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Such approach is in line with other Western jurisdictions' approaches and gives a regular update to stakeholders.

3.	Do yo	u agree with our proposal to include a Note under Rule 13.91 to clarify that:
	(i)	an ESG report may be presented as information in its annual report, in a separate report, or on the issuer's website; and
	(ii)	the issuer should publish the ESG report as close as possible to, and in any event no later than three months after, the publication of the issuer's annual report?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
	con ann stak	lished around the time of the annual report. It does not matter if this is tained in the Annual Report or a separate public document. Do request the tual report to disclose the location of the ESG disclosure for the ease of the techniques.
4.	areas "Com	ou agree with our proposal to revise the introductory section of the Guide into four (i.e. "The Guide", "Overall Approach", "Reporting Principles" and plementing ESG Discussions in the Business Review Section of the Directors' t"), and with the wording set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper?
	X	Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
		This seems like a reasonable approach. We have no strong views on this.

5.	Do you agree with the proposed wording of the Reporting Principles (i.e. "Materiality" "Quantitative", "Balance" and "Consistency") in the introductory section of the Guide, as set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	These are reasonable parameters to adopt and align them with international guidelines.
6.	Do you agree with the proposed wording in the Guide linking it to Appendix 16 to the Main Board Listing Rules (in relation to the requirement for ESG discussions in the business review section of the directors' report), as set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper?
	⊠ Yes
	■ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	This provides a good linkage to the business section of the annual report and highlights whether the entity is compliant in various areas of its businesses.
7.	Do you agree with the proposal to re-arrange the Guide into two Subject Areas (A Environmental and B. Social) and re-categorise "Workplace Quality", "Operating Practices" and "Community Involvement" under Subject Area B?
	⊠ Yes
	■ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	These subject titles are clearer.

8.	Do you agree with the proposal to change the heading "Workplace Quality" to "Employment and Labour Standards"?
	⋉ □ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Yes, this ties in the disclosure to the requirements under the relevant underlying laws and regulations.
9.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the General Disclosures for each Aspect of the ESG Guide to "comply or explain"?
	▼ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	This approach is consistent with what is done in relation to other provisions of the CG Code.
10.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend the wording of paragraph (b) under current Aspects A1, A2, A4, B1, C2 and C3, re-numbered Aspects A1, B1, B2, B4, B6 and B7, to "compliance with relevant laws and regulations that have a significant impact on the issuer" in order to align it with the language of the relevant provisions of the Companies Ordinance?
	▼ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.

⊠ Yes
□ No
Please give reasons for your views.
Explain option allows for companies to state capabilities or plans to report in future. Can also explain if emission is not material for their activities.
Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to "comply or explain" the current KPIs B1.3 and B1.6, re-numbered KPIs A1.3 and A1.6, concerning disclosure of hazardous waste?
⊠ Yes
□ No
Please give reasons for your views.
the upgrade should not impose an undue burden on most issuers, as most do not produce such waste.
Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to "comply or explain" the KPIs under the current Aspect B2, re-numbered Aspect A2, "Use of Resources"?
⊠ Yes
□ No
Please give reasons for your views.

14.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to "comply or explain" the current KPI B3.1, re-numbered KPI A3.1, concerning disclosure of the significant impacts of activities on the environment and natural resources?		
	⊠ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
15	Do you garge with our proposal to incompare gander disclosure in proposed Subject		
15.	Do you agree with our proposal to incorporate gender disclosure in proposed Subject Area B. Social, under the sub-heading "Employment and Labour Standards"?		
	⊠ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
	This is a key area of focus both at the Board level and at management level. It is important to focus the issuer on disclosure of gender diversity within its organisation.		

- End -