Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: <u>http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201507.pdf</u>

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.91 to require issuers to disclose in their annual reports or ESG reports whether they have complied with the "comply or explain" provisions in the ESG Guide and if they have not, they must give considered reasons in the ESG reports?

☑ Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Such disclosure should contribute to improved idenditification, measurement, reporting and verification of ESG issues within listed companies and, ultimately, to more tangible policies in dealing with them.

2. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.91 to require the issuer to report on ESG annually and regarding the same period covered in its annual report?

☑ Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

A smiliar timing should help with internal organisation. An annual pace is reasonable.

- 3. Do you agree with our proposal to include a Note under Rule 13.91 to clarify that:
 - (i) an ESG report may be presented as information in its annual report, in a separate report, or on the issuer's website; and
 - (ii) the issuer should publish the ESG report as close as possible to, and in any event no later than three months after, the publication of the issuer's annual report?
 - ☑ Yes
 - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

As in question number 2 above, it would presumably be easier for companies to publish their ESG report at the same time as their annual report and to include their ESG report in the annual report or in parallel to it (this is called an "annual and sustainability report" and is a format now used by many companies in Europe). Of course, it must also be on the Exchange's and the Issuer's website. To me, it does not make sense to publish an ESG report not at the same time as an annual report as it loses the momentum created by the annual report issuance.

- 4. Do you agree with our proposal to revise the introductory section of the Guide into four areas (i.e. "The Guide", "Overall Approach", "Reporting Principles" and "Complementing ESG Discussions in the Business Review Section of the Directors' Report"), and with the wording set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper?
 - ☑ Yes
 - No

Please give reasons for your views.

This would hopefully clarify things.

5. Do you agree with the proposed wording of the Reporting Principles (i.e. "Materiality", "Quantitative", "Balance" and "Consistency") in the introductory section of the Guide, as set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper?

☑ Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

This is	the essence of reporting.	

6. Do you agree with the proposed wording in the Guide linking it to Appendix 16 to the Main Board Listing Rules (in relation to the requirement for ESG discussions in the business review section of the directors' report), as set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper?

	No
--	----

Please give reasons for your views.

7. Do you agree with the proposal to re-arrange the Guide into two Subject Areas (A. Environmental and B. Social) and re-categorise "Workplace Quality", "Operating Practices" and "Community Involvement" under Subject Area B?

☑ Y	es
-----	----

No No)
-------	---

Please give reasons for your views.

This might help clarify, organise and present.

- 8. Do you agree with the proposal to change the heading "Workplace Quality" to "Employment and Labour Standards"?
 - ☑ Yes
 - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

This is a more neutral and objective perspective.	

- 9. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the General Disclosures for each Aspect of the ESG Guide to "comply or explain"?
 - ☑ Yes
 - No No

Please give reasons for your views.

If there is no such upgrade, most companies will continue to overlook these aspects.

10. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the wording of paragraph (b) under current Aspects A1, A2, A4, B1, C2 and C3, re-numbered Aspects A1, B1, B2, B4, B6 and B7, to "compliance with relevant laws and regulations that have a significant impact on the issuer..." in order to align it with the language of the relevant provisions of the Companies Ordinance?

☑ Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

It is a minimum and better than nothing. It is important to retain consistency in wording, this should help companies to report.

11. Do you agree with our proposal to revise proposed Aspect A1 ("Emissions") by upgrading to "comply or explain" the current KPIs B1.1, B1.2, B1.4 and B1.5, renumbered KPIs A1.1, A1.2, A1.4 and A1.5, concerning disclosure of emissions and non-hazardous waste?

☑ Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Same as above.

12. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to "comply or explain" the current KPIs B1.3 and B1.6, re-numbered KPIs A1.3 and A1.6, concerning disclosure of hazardous waste?

☑ Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

Same as above.		

13. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to "comply or explain" the KPIs under the current Aspect B2, re-numbered Aspect A2, "Use of Resources"?

es

	No
--	----

Please give reasons for your views.

Same as above.

- 14. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to "comply or explain" the current KPI B3.1, re-numbered KPI A3.1, concerning disclosure of the significant impacts of activities on the environment and natural resources?
 - ☑ Yes
 - □ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Same as above.

15. Do you agree with our proposal to incorporate gender disclosure in proposed Subject Area B. Social, under the sub-heading "Employment and Labour Standards"?

☑ Yes

No No

Please give reasons for your views.

- End -