Consultation Questions Part B

1.

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201507.pdf

Do you agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.91 to require issuers to disclose in their

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

provis	I reports or ESG reports whether they have complied with the "comply or explain" sions in the ESG Guide and if they have not, they must give considered reasons in SG reports?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
Int int	ergy Use Strategy Advisors supports the proposed amendments to Rule 13.91. croduction of mandatory comply-or-explain provisions is in line with ernational practice and is a minimum good practice threshold needed to keep om falling behind.
Do y ESG	ou agree with our proposal to amend Rule 13.91 to require the issuer to report on annually and regarding the same period covered in its annual report?
\boxtimes	
	Yes
	Yes No
Pleas	

(i)	an ESG report may be presented as information in its annual report, in a separat report, or on the issuer's website; and
	- ·
(ii)	the issuer should publish the ESG report as close as possible to, and in any even no later than three months after, the publication of the issuer's annual report?
	Yes
\boxtimes	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
(i) (ii)	As noted in our response to Question 2, comparability is important. Issuers would be better served by having clear direction that ESG reports should follow a set format. Of course Issuers are free to present additional or less ESG data in other presentation formats if they so choose. The annual ESG report should be issued at the same time as the annual financial report.
areas "Com	ou agree with our proposal to revise the introductory section of the Guide into fou (i.e. "The Guide", "Overall Approach", "Reporting Principles" an uplementing ESG Discussions in the Business Review Section of the Directors rt"), and with the wording set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
End	ergy Use Strategy Advisors considers that this is a reasonable arrangement.

5.	Do you agree with the proposed wording of the Reporting Principles (i.e. "Materiality", "Quantitative", "Balance" and "Consistency") in the introductory section of the Guide, as set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper?
	⊠ Yes
	No
	Please give reasons for your views.
6.	Do you agree with the proposed wording in the Guide linking it to Appendix 16 to the Main Board Listing Rules (in relation to the requirement for ESG discussions in the business review section of the directors' report), as set out in Appendix II to the Consultation Paper?
	⊠ Yes
	No
	Please give reasons for your views.
7.	Do you agree with the proposal to re-arrange the Guide into two Subject Areas (A. Environmental and B. Social) and re-categorise "Workplace Quality", "Operating Practices" and "Community Involvement" under Subject Area B?
	⊠ Yes
	No No
	Please give reasons for your views.

	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
Do yo	ou agree with our proposal to upgrade the General Disclosures for each Aspect of the Guide to "comply or explain"?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
Alti	hough only a first step, it is reasonable to go only this far for a short initial iod.
	Do you ESG O

10.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend the wording of paragraph (b) under current Aspects A1, A2, A4, B1, C2 and C3, re-numbered Aspects A1, B1, B2, B4, B6 and B7, to "compliance with relevant laws and regulations that have a significant impact on the issuer" in order to align it with the language of the relevant provisions of the Companies Ordinance?
	⊠ Yes
	No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Alignment with the CO is logical, although Energy Use Strategy Advisors recommends that efforts should be made to bring about ESG reports that are more forward-looking.
11.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise proposed Aspect A1 ("Emissions") by upgrading to "comply or explain" the current KPIs B1.1, B1.2, B1.4 and B1.5, renumbered KPIs A1.1, A1.2, A1.4 and A1.5, concerning disclosure of emissions and non-hazardous waste?
	⊠ Yes
	No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	(Note: Under KPIs A1-2 and A2-2, one example of intensity given, "per facility", is questionable. Better examples should be included in future documents.) (Note: kilowatt hours is correctly abbreviated kWh, not kwh)

12.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to "comply or explain" the current KPIs B1.3 and B1.6, re-numbered KPIs A1.3 and A1.6, concerning disclosure of hazardous waste?		
		Yes	
	112 / 100 / 100 / 100	No	
	Please	e give reasons for your views.	
13.		ou agree with our proposal to upgrade to "comply or explain" the KPIs under the t Aspect B2, re-numbered Aspect A2, "Use of Resources"?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
14.	re-nun	u agree with our proposal to upgrade to "comply or explain" the current KPI B3.1, obsered KPI A3.1, concerning disclosure of the significant impacts of activities on vironment and natural resources?	
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	

15.	Do you agree with our proposal to incorporate gender disclosure in proposed Subject Area B. Social, under the sub-heading "Employment and Labour Standards"?	
	\boxtimes	Yes
	p. some open der et	No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.