Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201406.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1.		ou agree with our proposal to amend the title of Section C.2 of the Code to "Risk gement and internal control"?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
2.	board to the	ou agree with the proposed amendments to Principle C.2 to define the roles of the and the management, and state that the management should provide assurance board on the effectiveness of the risk management systems? Is the intention of the osed wording sufficiently clear?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.

3.	the board may disclose in the Corporate Governance Report that it has receive assurance from management on the effectiveness of the issuer's risk management and internal control systems? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear	ed ent
	⊠ Yes	
	□ No	
	Please give reasons for your views.	
4.	Do you agree with the proposed amendments to CP C.2.1 to state that the boa should oversee the issuer's risk management and internal control systems on ongoing basis? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?	
	⊠ Yes	
	□ No	
	Please give reasons for your views.	
5.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to a CP the existing RBP C.2.3, which so out the matters that the board's annual review should consider?	ets
	⊠ Yes	
	□ No	
	Please give reasons for your views.	

6.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to a CP the existing RBP C.2.4, which sets our the particular disclosures that issuers should make in their Corporate Governance Reports in relation to how they have complied with the internal control CPs during the reporting period?		
	⊠ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
7.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend the wording of proposed CP C.2.4 to simplify the requirements and remove ambiguous language, and to make clear that		
	the risk management and internal control systems are designed to manage rather that eliminate risks? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?		
	⊠ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		

8.	reco and	elation to proposed CP C.2.4, do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the existing mmendation that issuers disclose their procedures and internal controls for handling disseminating inside information (Section S., paragraph (a)(ii)), and amend it to ade the handling of "other regulatory compliance risks"?
		Yes
		No
	Plea	se give reasons for your views.
9.		you agree with our proposal to upgrade to Mandatory Disclosures the following ing Recommended Disclosures in relation to internal controls (Section S.):
	(a)	whether the issuer has an internal audit function;
	(b)	how often the risk management and internal control systems are reviewed, the period covered, and where an issuer has not conducted a review during the year, an explanation why not;
	(c)	a statement that a review of the effectiveness of the risk management and internal control systems has been conducted and whether the issuer considers them effective and adequate; and
	(d)	significant views or proposals put forward by the audit committee?
		Yes
		No
	Plea	se give reasons for your views.

10.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the existing recommendation that issuers disclose details of any significant areas of concern (Section S., paragraph (a)(ix)) to a new RBP C.2.7, and to amend the provision to widen its application by removing the reference to areas of concern "which may affect shareholders"?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
11.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove RBP C.2.5, which states that issuers should ensure their disclosures provide meaningful information and do not give a misleading impression?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.

12.	Do you agree with our proposals to remove the recommendations that issuers include in their Corporate Governance Reports:
	(a) an explanation of how the internal control system has been defined for them (Section S., paragraph (a)(i)); and
	(b) the directors' criteria for assessing the effectiveness of the internal control system (Section S., paragraph (a)(vii))?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
13.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.2.6 to a CP (re-numbered C.2.5) and amend it to state that an issuer should have an internal audit function, and issuers without an internal audit function should review the need for one on an annual basis and disclose the reasons for the absence of such function in the Corporate Governance Report? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.

4.	-	you agree with our proposal to introduce new Notes to the proposed CP C.2.5 to by that:
	(a)	the role of the internal audit function is to carry out the analysis and independent appraisal of the adequacy and effectiveness of an issuer's risk management and internal control systems; and
	(b)	a group with multiple listed issuers may share group resources of the holding company to carry out the internal audit function for members of the group?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Is the	e intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear? Please give reasons for your s.
15.	annu	ou agree with our proposal to amend the existing CP C.2.2 to state that the board's all review should ensure the adequacy of resources, staff qualifications and rience, training programmes and budget of the issuer's internal audit function (in ion to its accounting and financial reporting functions)?
	\boxtimes	Yes
		No
	Pleas	se give reasons for your views.

16.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Principle C.3 in respect of audit committee and CP C.3.3 in respect of their terms of reference to incorporate "risk management where appropriate?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	We agree to incorporate "risk management" where appropriate to the terms of reference of audit committees. However, we suggest to add an acknowledgement that this duty of risk management could be effectively discharged if this is expressly addressed by a separate board risk committee.
17.	Do you agree that the matter of establishing a separate board risk committee should b left to issuers to decide in accordance with their own circumstances?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	It allows flexibility for issuers to decide whether they should establish a separate risk committee according to individual circumstances.
	However, to reflect this flexibility, we suggest the Exchange consider consequential amendments to CP C3.3 in respect of the terms of reference of an audit committee to acknowledge that the duties of an audit committee in respect of internal control and risk management could be effectively discharged if this is expressly addressed by a separate board risk committee.
	Without the specific acknowledgement, issuers who have a separate board non-executive risk committee responsible for internal control and risk management (rather than by their audit committee) will have to explain this "non-compliance" with CP C.3.3 even though they in substance comply with this provision. This affects, in particular, financial services issuers as the establishment of separate non-executive risk committee is often required by regulators or considered best practice.
	For reference, CP C.3.2 of The UK Corporate Governance Code provides that the audit committee terms of reference shall include "to review the company's internal financial controls and, unless expressly addressed by a separate board risk committee composed of independent directors, or by the board itself, to review the company's internal control and risk management systems".

What would be an appropriate period of time between the publication of the consultation

18.

conclusions and the implementation of the amendments set out in the Consultation Paper?		
	Six months	
	Nine months	
\boxtimes	12 months	
	Others (please specify:)	
Please give reasons for your views.		
L		

- End -