Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201406.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend the title of Section C.2 of the Code to "Risk management and internal control"?		
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
	We	agree with the reasons set out in paragraphs 32 to 34 of the Consultation Paper.	
2.	Do you agree with the proposed amendments to Principle C.2 to define the roles of the board and the management, and state that the management should provide assurance to the board on the effectiveness of the risk management systems? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?		
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
	We	agree with the reasons set out in paragraphs 36 to 50 of the Consultation Paper.	

assura	oard may disclose in the Corporate Governance Report that it has received ince from management on the effectiveness of the issuer's risk management iternal control systems? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
We	agree with the reasons set out in paragraphs 36 to 50 of the Consultation Paper.
should	ou agree with the proposed amendments to CP C.2.1 to state that the board doversee the issuer's risk management and internal control systems on an ang basis? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
We Pap	agree with the reasons set out in paragraphs 57, 66 and 67 of the Consultation per.
-	ou agree with our proposal to upgrade to a CP the existing RBP C.2.3, which sets e matters that the board's annual review should consider?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
Please	e give reasons for your views.
	agree with the reasons set out in paragraphs 56 and 58 to 65 of the asultation Paper.

6.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to a CP the existing RBP C.2.4, which sets out the particular disclosures that issuers should make in their Corporate Governance Report in relation to how they have complied with the internal control CPs during the reporting period?		
	■ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
7.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend the wording of proposed CP C.2.4 to simplify the requirements and remove ambiguous language, and to make clear that the risk management and internal control systems are designed to manage rather than eliminate risks? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?		
	Yes		
	□ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
	We agree with the reasons set out in paragraph 70 of the Consultation Paper.		

recommendation that issuers disclose their procedures and internal controls for handling and disseminating inside information (Section S., paragraph (a)(ii)), and amend it to include the handling of "other regulatory compliance risks"?		
	Yes	
\boxtimes	No	
Ple	ease give reasons for your views.	
	We agree with the proposal to upgrade the existing recommendation that issuers should disclose, in the Corporate Governance Report, their procedures and internal controls for handling and disseminating inside information (existing Section S, paragraph (a)(ii)), but do not agree with the new disclosure requirement under the proposed CP C.2.4(e) on procedures and internal controls for handling of "other regulatory compliance risks" as such term is too general and ambiguous and it is unclear to issuers as to what the scope of the disclosure might be.	
Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to Mandatory Disclosures the following existing Recommended Disclosures in relation to internal controls (Section S.):		
(a)	whether the issuer has an internal audit function;	
(b)	how often the risk management and internal control systems are reviewed, the period covered, and where an issuer has not conducted a review during the year, an explanation why not;	
(c)	a statement that a review of the effectiveness of the risk management and internal control systems has been conducted and whether the issuer considers them effective and adequate; and	
	significant views or proposals put forward by the audit committee?	
(d)		
(d)	Yes	
(d)	Yes No	

shareholders or other stakeholders.

or proposals in the annual report would neither be meaningful nor helpful to the

10.	Do you agree with our proposal to move the existing recommendation that issuers disclose details of any significant areas of concern (Section S., paragraph (a)(ix)) to a new RBP C.2.7, and to amend the provision to widen its application by removing the reference to areas of concern "which may affect shareholders"?		
	⊠ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
11.	Do you agree with our proposal to remove RBP C.2.5, which states that issuers should ensure their disclosures provide meaningful information and do not give a misleading impression?		
	⊠ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
	We agree that RBP C.2.5 is redundant and should be removed.		

12.	Do you agree with our proposals to remove the recommendations that issuers include in their Corporate Governance Reports:		
	(a) an explanation of how the internal control system has been defined for them (Section S., paragraph (a)(i)); and		
	(b) the directors' criteria for assessing the effectiveness of the internal control system (Section S., paragraph (a)(vii))?		
	⊠ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
	The proposals clarify the disclosure requirements.		
13.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.2.6 to a CP (re-numbered C.2.5) and amend it to state that an issuer should have an internal audit function, and issuers without an internal audit function should review the need for one on an annual basis and disclose the reasons for the absence of such function in the Corporate Governance Report? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?		
	⊠ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
	We agree with the reasons set out in paragraphs 79 to 86 of the Consultation Paper.		

Cı	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce new Notes to the proposed CP C.2.5 t clarify that:	
(a	the role of the internal audit function is to carry out the analysis and independent appraisal of the adequacy and effectiveness of an issuer's risk management and internal control systems; and	
(b	a group with multiple listed issuers may share group resources of the holding company to carry out the internal audit function for members of the group?	
\geq	Yes	
] No	
	the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear? Please give reasons for your ews.	
	We agree with the reasons set out in paragraphs 80 and 88 of the Consultation Paper.	
ar ex	o you agree with our proposal to amend the existing CP C.2.2 to state that the board's anual review should ensure the adequacy of resources, staff qualifications and apperience, training programmes and budget of the issuer's internal audit function (in addition to its accounting and financial reporting functions)?	
ar ex	nnual review should ensure the adequacy of resources, staff qualifications and experience, training programmes and budget of the issuer's internal audit function (in	
ar ex	nnual review should ensure the adequacy of resources, staff qualifications and aperience, training programmes and budget of the issuer's internal audit function (in addition to its accounting and financial reporting functions)?	
ar ex ac	nnual review should ensure the adequacy of resources, staff qualifications and apperience, training programmes and budget of the issuer's internal audit function (in addition to its accounting and financial reporting functions)? Yes	
ar ex ac	anual review should ensure the adequacy of resources, staff qualifications and experience, training programmes and budget of the issuer's internal audit function (indition to its accounting and financial reporting functions)? Yes No	
ar ex ac	anual review should ensure the adequacy of resources, staff qualifications and experience, training programmes and budget of the issuer's internal audit function (in dition to its accounting and financial reporting functions)? Yes No ease give reasons for your views.	

16.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Principle C.3 in respect of audit committees and CP C.3.3 in respect of their terms of reference to incorporate "risk management" where appropriate?			
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.		
		e agree with the reasons set out in paragraphs 94 to 101 of the Consultation per.		
17.	•	ou agree that the matter of establishing a separate board risk committee should be bissuers to decide in accordance with their own circumstances?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Pleas	Please give reasons for your views.		
		is is helpful as it gives room and flexibility to issuers to decide based on their n circumstances.		
18.		t would be an appropriate period of time between the publication of the consultation ausions and the implementation of the amendments set out in the Consultation Paper?		
		Six months		
		Nine months		
		12 months		
		Others (please specify:)		
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.		
	an	e are ready to comply within six months. However, we are aware that some small d medium sized issuers might require a longer period to prepare for the plementation of the amendments set out in the Consultation Paper. We have no		

objection to a longer period of implementation.