

Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: <http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201406.pdf>

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the title of Section C.2 of the Code to “Risk management and internal control”?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

We believe that the proposed title will not only merge the joint-focus of the Board of Directors into proper risk management cum internal control, but also to enhance the overall corporate governance over time.

2. Do you agree with the proposed amendments to Principle C.2 to define the roles of the board and the management, and state that the management should provide assurance to the board on the effectiveness of the risk management systems? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, the intention of the proposed wording is sufficiently clear though all companies would be better off if timely reminders/updates are provided.

3. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce an amended RBP (C.2.6) to provide that the board may disclose in the Corporate Governance Report that it has received assurance from management on the effectiveness of the issuer's risk management and internal control systems? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Per our reply stated in Q. 2 above.

4. Do you agree with the proposed amendments to CP C.2.1 to state that the board should oversee the issuer's risk management and internal control systems on an ongoing basis? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Per our reply stated in Q. 3 above.

5. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to a CP the existing RBP C.2.3, which sets out the matters that the board's annual review should consider?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, such that all listed companies can stay in the framework of such CP and go on with it.

6. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to a CP the existing RBP C.2.4, which sets out the particular disclosures that issuers should make in their Corporate Governance Reports in relation to how they have complied with the internal control CPs during the reporting period?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, such that all listed companies can stay in the framework of such CP and go on with it.

7. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the wording of proposed CP C.2.4 to simplify the requirements and remove ambiguous language, and to make clear that the risk management and internal control systems are designed to manage rather than eliminate risks? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, the proposed wordings are sufficiently clear. When wordings are overly technical they might cancel out their intended meaning; hence the need for simplicity.

8. In relation to proposed CP C.2.4, do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the existing recommendation that issuers disclose their procedures and internal controls for handling and disseminating inside information (Section S., paragraph (a)(ii)), and amend it to include the handling of “other regulatory compliance risks”?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, such that all listed companies can stay in the framework of such CP and go on with it.

9. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to Mandatory Disclosures the following existing Recommended Disclosures in relation to internal controls (Section S.):

- (a) whether the issuer has an internal audit function;
- (b) how often the risk management and internal control systems are reviewed, the period covered, and where an issuer has not conducted a review during the year, an explanation why not;
- (c) a statement that a review of the effectiveness of the risk management and internal control systems has been conducted and whether the issuer considers them effective and adequate; and
- (d) significant views or proposals put forward by the audit committee?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, we agree in principle though reasonable allowance should always be given on reporting progress and its interval, not least being the manpower and financial considerations involved.

10. Do you agree with our proposal to move the existing recommendation that issuers disclose details of any significant areas of concern (Section S., paragraph (a)(ix)) to a new RBP C.2.7, and to amend the provision to widen its application by removing the reference to areas of concern “which may affect shareholders”?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, such that all listed companies can stay in the framework of such CP and go on with it.

11. Do you agree with our proposal to remove RBP C.2.5, which states that issuers should ensure their disclosures provide meaningful information and do not give a misleading impression?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, for the sake of clarity and simplicity.

12. Do you agree with our proposals to remove the recommendations that issuers include in their Corporate Governance Reports:

(a) an explanation of how the internal control system has been defined for them (Section S., paragraph (a)(i)); and

(b) the directors' criteria for assessing the effectiveness of the internal control system (Section S., paragraph (a)(vii))?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, for the sake of clarity and completeness.

13. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.2.6 to a CP (re-numbered C.2.5) and amend it to state that an issuer should have an internal audit function, and issuers without an internal audit function should review the need for one on an annual basis and disclose the reasons for the absence of such function in the Corporate Governance Report? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, we agree in principle though reasonable allowance should always be given on reporting progress and its interval, not least being the manpower and financial considerations involved.

14. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce new Notes to the proposed CP C.2.5 to clarify that:

- (a) the role of the internal audit function is to carry out the analysis and independent appraisal of the adequacy and effectiveness of an issuer's risk management and internal control systems; and
- (b) a group with multiple listed issuers may share group resources of the holding company to carry out the internal audit function for members of the group?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear? Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, the proposed wordings are sufficiently clear and we agree in principle with the proposal to introduce new "Notes" to the proposed CP C.2.5.

15. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the existing CP C.2.2 to state that the board's annual review should ensure the adequacy of resources, staff qualifications and experience, training programmes and budget of the issuer's internal audit function (in addition to its accounting and financial reporting functions)?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, we agree in principle though reasonable allowance should always be given on reporting progress and its interval, not least being the manpower and financial considerations involved.

16. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Principle C.3 in respect of audit committees and CP C.3.3 in respect of their terms of reference to incorporate "risk management" where appropriate?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, we agree in principle though reasonable allowance should always be given on reporting progress and its interval, not least being the manpower and financial considerations involved.

17. Do you agree that the matter of establishing a separate board risk committee should be left to issuers to decide in accordance with their own circumstances?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your views.

Yes, since listed company differs from each other in terms of resources, business scope, and financial considerations.

18. What would be an appropriate period of time between the publication of the consultation conclusions and the implementation of the amendments set out in the Consultation Paper?

☐ Six months

☐ Nine months

☒ 12 months

☐ Others (please specify:)

Please give reasons for your views.

We would suggest not less than 12 months since all proposals literally means extra costs in terms of preparation, administration, and finance.

- End -