## **Consultation Questions** Part B

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEx website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp201406.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend the title of Section C.: management and internal control"?	2 of the Code to "Risk
	☑ Yes	
	No	1
	Please give reasons for your views.	I
	This can help to reflect the global recognition of internal con integrated part of risk management.	trol being an
2.	Do you agree with the proposed amendments to Principle C.2 to board and the management, and state that the management sho to the board on the effectiveness of the risk management systems proposed wording sufficiently clear?	ould provide assurance
	☑ Yes	
	No	
	Please give reasons for your views.	
	We agree to the reasons set out in the Consultation Paper.	:

3.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce an amended RBP (C.2.6) to provide that the board may disclose in the Corporate Governance Report that it has received assurance from management on the effectiveness of the issuer's risk management and internal control systems? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	This proposal can facilitate better disclosures by issuers.
4.	Do you agree with the proposed amendments to CP C.2.1 to state that the board should oversee the issuer's risk management and internal control systems on an ongoing basis? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?
	☐ Yes
	☑ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	However, it is impractical for the entire board to oversee the issuer's risk management and internal control systems "on an ongoing basis" given that the non-executive directors (NEDs) and independent non-executive directors (INEDs) are not involved in the day-to-day management of the issuer. Further, the newly proposed CP C.2.3 under the UK code does not contain such ongoing requirement (per Note 29 of the Consultation Paper). Accordingly, we suggest to delete the requirement of "on an ongoing basis" from the proposed CP C.2.1.
5.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade to a CP the existing RBP C.2.3, which sets out the matters that the board's annual review should consider?
	✓ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.

the c	ou agree with our proposal to upgrade to a CP the existing RBP C.2.4, which so particular disclosures that issuers should make in their Corporate Governance R lation to how they have complied with the internal control CPs during the rep od?
Ø	Yes
	No
W m ar	nd the substance of their annual reviews.
W m ar Do simp	e agree that this proposal would help to encourage more substantive, eaningful disclosure of issuers' risk management and internal control system.
W m ar Do simp	Te agree that this proposal would help to encourage more substantive, caningful disclosure of issuers' risk management and internal control systemed the substance of their annual reviews.  you agree with our proposal to amend the wording of proposed CP C. blify the requirements and remove ambiguous language, and to make clearisk management and internal control systems are designed to manage rather
Do simp	Te agree that this proposal would help to encourage more substantive, eaningful disclosure of issuers' risk management and internal control systemed the substance of their annual reviews.  you agree with our proposal to amend the wording of proposed CP C. blify the requirements and remove ambiguous language, and to make clearisk management and internal control systems are designed to manage rather inate risks? Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?

1

8.	In relation to proposed CP C.2.4, do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the existing recommendation that issuers disclose their procedures and internal controls for handling and disseminating inside information (Section S., paragraph (a)(ii)), and amend it to include the handling of "other regulatory compliance risks"?				
	777	Yes			
	$\square$	No			
	Please give reasons for your views.				
	sh in Se re co	The agree with the proposal to upgrade the existing recommendation that issuers could disclose, in the Corporate Governance Report, their procedures and ternal controls for handling and disseminating inside information (existing extion S, paragraph (a)(ii)), but do <u>not</u> agree with the new disclosure quirement under the proposed CP C.2.4(e) on procedures and internal entrols for handling of "other regulatory compliance risks" as such term is too eneral and ambiguous and it is unclear to issuers as to what the scope of the sclosure might be.			
9.	exis	you agree with our proposal to upgrade to Mandatory Disclosures the following ting Recommended Disclosures in relation to internal controls (Section S.):			
	(a)	whether the issuer has an internal audit function;			
	(b)	how often the risk management and internal control systems are reviewed, the period covered, and where an issuer has not conducted a review during the year, an explanation why not;			
	(c)	a statement that a review of the effectiveness of the risk management and internal control systems has been conducted and whether the issuer considers them effective and adequate; and			
	(d)	significant views or proposals put forward by the audit committee?			
	V	Yes			
		No			
	Plea	se give reasons for your views.			
	T	his proposal can facilitate better disclosures by issuers.			

$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes	
	No	
Pleas	e give reasons for your views.	I
Th	is proposal can facilitate better disclosures by issuers.	
		,
		<u></u>
ensur	ou agree with our proposal to remove RBP C.2.5, which state their disclosures provide meaningful information and do no ession?	s that issuers ot give a mis
ensur	e their disclosures provide meaningful information and do no	s that issuers ot give a mis
ensur	e their disclosures provide meaningful information and do no ession?	s that issuers ot give a mis
ensur impre	e their disclosures provide meaningful information and do no ession?  Yes	s that issuers ot give a mis
ensur impre	re their disclosures provide meaningful information and do no ession?  Yes  No	s that issuers ot give a mis

12.	Do you agree with our proposals to remove the recommendations that issuers include their Corporate Governance Reports:	in
	<ul> <li>(a) an explanation of how the internal control system has been defined for them (Secti S., paragraph (a)(i)); and</li> </ul>	on
	(b) the directors' criteria for assessing the effectiveness of the internal control system (Section S., paragraph (a)(vii))?	
	▼ Yes	
	□ No	
	Please give reasons for your views.	
13.	Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade RBP C.2.6 to a CP (re-numbered C.2.5) a amend it to state that an issuer should have an internal audit function, and issuers with an internal audit function should review the need for one on an annual basis and disclet the reasons for the absence of such function in the Corporate Governance Report? Is intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear?	out ose
	✓ Yes	
	✓ Yes  □ No	
	□ No	

Do you agree with our proposal to introduce new Notes to the proposed CP C.2.5 to clarify that:  (a) the role of the internal audit function is to carry out the analysis and independent				
(a)	the role of the internal audit function is to carry out to appraisal of the adequacy and effectiveness of an issinternal control systems; and	he analysis and independen suer's risk management and	t 1	
(b)	a group with multiple listed issuers may share group company to carry out the internal audit function for me	up resources of the holding embers of the group?	į	
✓	I Yes			
	] No	,		
_	Is the intention of the proposed wording sufficiently clear? Please give reasons for your views.			
		er.		
De am	ews.	2.2.2 to state that the board'es, staff qualifications and	d	
De am	We agree to the reasons set out in the Consultation Paper of you agree with our proposal to amend the existing CP Consultation review should ensure the adequacy of resource aperience, training programmes and budget of the issuer's eldition to its accounting and financial reporting functions)?	2.2.2 to state that the board'es, staff qualifications and	d	
De an exad	We agree to the reasons set out in the Consultation Paper of you agree with our proposal to amend the existing CP Connual review should ensure the adequacy of resource operience, training programmes and budget of the issuer's idition to its accounting and financial reporting functions)?  Yes	2.2.2 to state that the board'es, staff qualifications and	d	

adequacy may later found to be inadequate based on unexpected factors.

Accordingly, we urge the Exchange to keep the original wording, i.e. "consider".

16.	and C	ou agree with our proposal to amend Principle C.3 in respect of CP C.3.3 in respect of their terms of reference to incorporate "e appropriate?	f audit committees risk management"		
	$\square$	Yes			
		No			
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.	'		
	bet ma	e agree that internal control is an integrated part of risk mana iter for the audit committee to be assigned with the duty of rev inagement framework of the issuer, as opposed to establishing ard risk committee.	viewing the risk		
17.	Do yelleft to	ou agree that the matter of establishing a separate board risk consistency issuers to decide in accordance with their own circumstances?	mmittee should be		
	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Yes	•		
		No	· C		
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.			
	its ag	rther, we suggest the Exchange to put up a note in Section C.3 view under paragraph 103 of the Consultation Paper, i.e., the rees that the issuer should be given an option to establish a sep k committee.	Exchange		
18.	What concl	What would be an appropriate period of time between the publication of the consultation conclusions and the implementation of the amendments set out in the Consultation Paper			
		Six months			
		Nine months			
	$\square$	12 months	ı		
		Others (please specify: )			
	Pleas	se give reasons for your views.			
	ma	ne Exchange should allow sufficient time for small or medium ake preparations for compliance with the proposed new amen e Consultation Paper.	sized issuers to dments set out in		