

Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

<http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/August-2018-Review-Structure-to-LC-Decisions/Consultation-Paper/cp201808.pdf>

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1. Do you agree to revise the current review structure so that decisions of Material Significance made by the Listing Committee will be subject to only one level of review?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Agree with the view as set out in paragraph 67 of the consultation paper. As the LRC and the LD(R)C comprise members of the Listing Committee, the two-tier review structure would not appear to add much to the overall process when the Listing Committee and the LRC (or the LD(R)C have already found against the new applicant or listed issuer.

2. Do you agree with:

(a) the proposal to establish a new independent review committee to replace the LRC and the LD(R)C respectively and to hear reviews currently conducted by them?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Agree with the view as set out in paragraph 73 of the consultation paper (i.e. there should be no overlapping in membership between each review body and the body whose decisions it will review).

(b) the size and composition of the new independent review committee (including the mix of members' representation)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

The size and composition of the new independent review committee is suitable.

3. If the Exchange decides to retain two levels of review for decisions of Material Significance made by the Listing Committee, do you agree that the LAC is to be replaced by a review committee with members being drawn from the proposed new independent review committee and chaired by a member of a separate chairperson panel? Are there any additional process or safeguards that you would suggest to enhance this aspect of the review structure for such decisions of Material Significance?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

The proposal is satisfactory.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to routinely publish decisions of the new Listing Review Committee for non-disciplinary matters on the basis described in paragraphs 102 to 105 of the Consultation Paper?


Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

The proposal is satisfactory.

5. The Exchange does not consider that specific consultation is required in relation to the provisions for the SFC's power to request review of decisions as set out in Chapter 5 of the Consultation Paper (as they reflect the MOU and administrative procedures) but would be pleased to receive any comments from respondents.



- End -