Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/August-2019-Codification-of-General-Waivers/Consultation-Paper/cp201908.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

Capitalised terms have the same meaning as defined in the Consultation Paper unless otherwise stated.

or ca	rou agree with our proposal to codify the existing General Waiver such that bonu apitalisation issues by a PRC incorporated issuer are exempted from shareholder ovals in general meetings and separate class meetings?
V	Yes
	No
You	may provide reasons for your views.
calcu	rou agree with our proposal to codify the existing General Waiver to modify the ulation of consideration ratio for a PRC incorporated issuer whose domest es are listed on a PRC exchange? Yes
	169
	No

3.	Do you agree with our proposal to codify the existing General Waiver to allow the listed issuer's stock code to be displayed prominently in the corporate or shareholder information section of financial reports as described in paragraph 30 of the Consultation Paper?		
	☑ Yes		
	□ No		
	You may provide reasons for your views.		
4.	Do you agree with our proposal to codify the R4.04(2)&(4) Conditions as an exception to Main Board Rules 4.04(2) and 4.04(4) regarding the disclosure of financial information of subsidiaries or businesses acquired or to be acquired after trading record period?		
	☑ Yes		
	□ No		
	You may provide reasons for your views.		
5.	Do you agree with our proposal to codify the R4.10 Waiver as an exception to Main Board Rule 4.10 regarding the disclosure of financial information of the overseas banking companies?		
	☑ Yes		
	□ No		
	You may provide reasons for your views.		

V	Yes
	No
You	may provide reasons for your views.
Waive mee exce align Repo	you agree with our proposal to (i) codify the modification provided by the Gener vers into Main Board Rules 13.46 and 13.49(1) subject to the new applicating the Annual Results and Reports Waivers Conditions; (ii) codify similarities to Main Board Rule 13.48(1) as well as GEM Rules 18.66 and 18.79; (in the conditions for Interim Results Exemption with the Annual Results are orts Waivers Conditions; and (iv) repeal PN 10 and consolidate the guidance with the Main Board Rules?
\checkmark	Yes
	Yes No
	No
	No
You Do you	No may provide reasons for your views. you agree with the proposal to codify the waiver from disclosure of actua
You Do you	Mo may provide reasons for your views. you agree with the proposal to codify the waiver from disclosure of actual sideration of aircrafts to be acquired by listed airline operators, as described in
You Do you	Mo may provide reasons for your views. you agree with the proposal to codify the waiver from disclosure of actual sideration of aircrafts to be acquired by listed airline operators, as described in graph 58 of the Consultation Paper?

9.	Do you agree with the proposal to allow listed issuers to determine SpinCo's Scheme Limit with reference to SpinCo's shares in issue as at the date of SpinCo's listing?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	You may provide reasons for your views.
10.	Do you agree with the proposal to codify the waiver of the exercise price requirement for issuers dually listed on the Exchange and a PRC exchange as described in paragraph 65 of the Consultation Paper?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	You may provide reasons for your views.
11.	Do you agree with our proposal to codify the waiver described in paragraph 71 of the Consultation Paper in respect of the experience and qualification of company secretary into the Rules?
	□ Yes
	☑ No
	You may provide reasons for your views.

The role of a company secretary of a Hong Kong listed issuer has overtime become more demanding and important. It is doubtful how persons who are not professionally trained, qualified and subject to continuous professional developments as qualified professionals, to take on the role of the company secretary of a listed company. The proposed codification as described in paragraph 71 of the Consultation Paper would defeat the original intent and spirit of Main Board Rule 3.28 which is to ensure a listed company would have a qualified person, in terms of professional qualification or relevant experience, to ensure regulatory compliance by the listed issuer and uphold the corporate governance standard set out in the Listing Rules.

The "location of the principal business activities of a listed issuer" is quite an irrelevant factor when considering whether a waiver under Rule 3.28 should be granted, as all issuers listed on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange is required to comply with the Listing Rules irrespective of the location of their principal business activities.

Further, it is difficult to follow the argument in paragraph 70 that an unqualified person is "generally expected" to be able to acquire the relevant qualification or experience required under Rule 3.28 within three years to support the proposed codification of the waiver. In fact, the onus should be on the listed issuer to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Exchange that upon the expiry of the 3-year waiver or "hand-holding" period, the unqualifed person should be able to acquire the knowledge and skills necessary and expected of a company secretary of a Hong Kong listed issuer and thus be regarded as a person with relevant qualification or experience under Rule 3.28.

In view of the above, the waiver from the requirements under Rule 3.28 should not be codified and only be granted on a case-by-case or exception basis, taking into account the objective factors such as length of employment with the issuer, relevant trainings taken, professional qualifications in other jurisdictions, etc. and not only the factors set out in the proposed Note 3 to Rule 3.28, such as the location of the principal business activities and hand-holding by a qualified person during the waiver period.

12.	Do you agree with the proposals (a) to provide an exemption for Main Board listed issuers that are banking companies or insurance companies from including a working capital statement, subject to appropriate alternative disclosures in their listing documents and transaction circulars if they are able to meet the same conditions as those set out in Main Board Rule 8.21A(2), and (b) to limit Main Board Rule 8.21A(2) so that the exemption applies only to banking companies or insurance companies, subject to alternative disclosures in their listing documents and the conditions as described in paragraph 73 of the Consultation Paper?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	You may provide reasons for your views.
	Agree. The solvency and capital adequacy information will be more meaningful and appropriate to reflect the liquidity of a listed insurance company than a working capital statement as required under Chapters 8 and 14 of the Main Board Listing Rules. Suggest to delete "and the Exchange is satisfied" in the proposed Rule 14.66(10) as otherwise the Exchange would be required to confirm to the issuer that conditions (a), (b) and (c) in such Rule have been demonstrated to their satisfaction.
13.	Do you agree with our proposal to codify the guidance in Guidance Letter HKEX-GL7-09 into the Rules for new applicants' easy reference?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	You may provide reasons for your views.

Do you agree with our proposal to codify the guidance in Listing Decision HKEX-LD15-3 into the Rules for new applicants' easy reference?
☑ Yes
□ No
You may provide reasons for your views.
Do you agree to amend Main Board Rule 17.05 to state clearly that the restricted period for grant of share options would cover the trading day after the announcement is made with respect to the inside information?
☑ Yes
□ No
You may provide reasons for your views.
Do you agree with our proposal to codify the guidance in Guidance Letter HKEX-GL16-09 into the Rules for completeness?
☑ Yes
□ No
You may provide reasons for your views.

17.	Do you agree with our proposal to codify the guidance in Guidance Letter HKEX-GL31-12 into a new practice note to the Rules for completeness?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	You may provide reasons for your views.
18.	Do you agree with our proposal to codify the guidance in Guidance Letter HKEX-GL58-13 into the Rules for new applicants' easy reference?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	You may provide reasons for your views.
19.	Do you agree with our proposal to codify the guidance in Guidance Letter HKEX-GL60-13 into the Rules for new applicants' easy reference?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	You may provide reasons for your views.