

Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

<http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/August-2019-Codification-of-General-Waivers/Consultation-Paper/cp201908.pdf>

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

Capitalised terms have the same meaning as defined in the Consultation Paper unless otherwise stated.

1. Do you agree with our proposal to codify the existing General Waiver such that bonus or capitalisation issues by a PRC incorporated issuer are exempted from shareholders' approvals in general meetings and separate class meetings?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

2. Do you agree with our proposal to codify the existing General Waiver to modify the calculation of consideration ratio for a PRC incorporated issuer whose domestic shares are listed on a PRC exchange?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

3. Do you agree with our proposal to codify the existing General Waiver to allow the listed issuer's stock code to be displayed prominently in the corporate or shareholder information section of financial reports as described in paragraph 30 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

4. Do you agree with our proposal to codify the R4.04(2)&(4) Conditions as an exception to Main Board Rules 4.04(2) and 4.04(4) regarding the disclosure of financial information of subsidiaries or businesses acquired or to be acquired after trading record period?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

5. Do you agree with our proposal to codify the R4.10 Waiver as an exception to Main Board Rule 4.10 regarding the disclosure of financial information of the overseas banking companies?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

6. Do you agree with our proposal to codify the R8.21(1) Conditions as an exception to Main Board Rule 8.21(1) regarding the change of financial year period?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

7. Do you agree with our proposal to (i) codify the modification provided by the General Waivers into Main Board Rules 13.46 and 13.49(1) subject to the new applicant meeting the Annual Results and Reports Waivers Conditions; (ii) codify similar exception to Main Board Rule 13.48(1) as well as GEM Rules 18.66 and 18.79; (iii) align the conditions for Interim Results Exemption with the Annual Results and Reports Waivers Conditions; and (iv) repeal PN 10 and consolidate the guidance with the relevant Main Board Rules?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

8. Do you agree with the proposal to codify the waiver from disclosure of actual consideration of aircrafts to be acquired by listed airline operators, as described in paragraph 58 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

9. Do you agree with the proposal to allow listed issuers to determine SpinCo's Scheme Limit with reference to SpinCo's shares in issue as at the date of SpinCo's listing?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

10. Do you agree with the proposal to codify the waiver of the exercise price requirement for issuers dually listed on the Exchange and a PRC exchange as described in paragraph 65 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

11. Do you agree with our proposal to codify the waiver described in paragraph 71 of the Consultation Paper in respect of the experience and qualification of company secretary into the Rules?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

It is no doubt that the issuers can comply with the rules by recruiting qualified company secretary which we believe there are plenty of qualified company secretaries in the market. If the waiver is codified as described in paragraph 71, the issuers can circumvent the requirement of Rule 3.28. It is not clear under what circumstances and how many issuers were granted such waiver in the past as we are not aware of the Exchange has disclosed such figure in the public. We suspect that the codification of the waiver described in paragraph 71 is a policy to favor those issuers who are PRC companies. As we all understand the corporate governance standards in the PRC are far below from the international standards, the Exchange should not sacrifice our fair and orderly market by downgrading our standard in corporate governance to fit them. In the long run, such codification is damaging the standard of corporate governance and industry of company secretaries in Hong Kong.

From my experience in working with a joint company secretary who could not meet the requirement of Rule 3.28 but was designated to represent the interest of the substantial shareholder, the unqualified joint secretary shifted nearly all the company secretarial duties to the qualified joint company secretary. The qualified joint company secretary usually could not dispute with the unqualified joint company secretary on the work allocation as the qualified joint company secretary shared a lower working hierarchy in the company. I believe this is not a single case for issuers listed in Hong Kong who are controlled by PRC companies. Given that it is not compulsory for the Proposed Company Secretary to meet the requirement in Rule 3.28 within the Period, the unqualified joint secretary is not required to learn and perform all the company secretary duties independently. It is also questionable how the Exchange can do better than an examination body to assess the suitability of the Proposed Company Secretary in a fair way.

12. Do you agree with the proposals (a) to provide an exemption for Main Board listed issuers that are banking companies or insurance companies from including a working capital statement, subject to appropriate alternative disclosures in their listing documents and transaction circulars if they are able to meet the same conditions as those set out in Main Board Rule 8.21A(2), and (b) to limit Main Board Rule 8.21A(2) so that the exemption applies only to banking companies or insurance companies, subject to alternative disclosures in their listing documents and the conditions as described in paragraph 73 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

13. Do you agree with our proposal to codify the guidance in Guidance Letter HKEX-GL7-09 into the Rules for new applicants' easy reference?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

14. Do you agree with our proposal to codify the guidance in Listing Decision HKEX-LD15-3 into the Rules for new applicants' easy reference?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

15. Do you agree to amend Main Board Rule 17.05 to state clearly that the restricted period for grant of share options would cover the trading day after the announcement is made with respect to the inside information?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

16. Do you agree with our proposal to codify the guidance in Guidance Letter HKEX-GL16-09 into the Rules for completeness?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

17. Do you agree with our proposal to codify the guidance in Guidance Letter HKEX-GL31-12 into a new practice note to the Rules for completeness?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

18. Do you agree with our proposal to codify the guidance in Guidance Letter HKEX-GL58-13 into the Rules for new applicants' easy reference?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

19. Do you agree with our proposal to codify the guidance in Guidance Letter HKEX-GL60-13 into the Rules for new applicants' easy reference?

Yes

No

You may provide reasons for your views.

- End -