## **Consultation Questions – Pre-opening Session** Part B **Enhancement**

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes and provide reasons to support your views. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at: http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/August-2019-Consultation-Paper-on-Market-Microstructure-E

nhancem	ents/Consultation-Paper/cp201908.pdf
/here the ages.	re is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional
1. Do	you support using the following <u>auction features</u> in POS, similar to CAS:
	<ul> <li>Randomised auction matching;</li> <li>Allowing at-auction limit orders throughout the session;</li> <li>Allowing short selling orders with a price not lower than the previous closing price; and</li> <li>Enhanced market transparency.</li> </ul>
	Yes
	No
Ple	ase give reasons for your view.
3	Support a consistent auction mechanism with CAS

- 2. Do you agree that the enhanced POS model should be applied to all equities and funds only similar to CAS, with details as follows:
  - Including equities (including depositary receipts, investment companies, preference shares and stapled securities) and funds (ETFs and REITs)
  - Excluding debt securities, structured products, leveraged and inverse products, equity warrants and rights.

V	Yes	
	No	
Plea	se give reasons for your view	١.

	Keeping the approach similar to CAS will reduce complexity	
3.	Do you support applying a two-stage price limit during POS similar to CAS?	
	☐ Yes	
	☑ No	
	Please give reasons for your view.	
	Price limit is beneficial to reduce price volatility in POS. Yet one stage price limit should be sufficient for such a purpose	
4.	Do you support setting the price limits to be initially $\pm 15\%$ from the previous closing price, and then to within the lowest ask and highest bid prices recorde at the end of order input period?	ig is
	□ Yes	
	☑ No	
	Please give reasons for your view.	
	The second stage with a tighter band will limit price discovery .	
5.	Do you support the proposed time periods in the enhanced POS, or would yo prefer the alternative model with the No-cancellation period shortened by minutes such that auction matching may occur latest by 9:20am same as today	2
	Support the proposed time periods	
	Prefer the alternative model	
	Not support	
	Please give reasons for your view.	

		Sup	oport random matching to start from 9:20
6.	Do clo	o yo	ou support the proposal of <u>not</u> matching at-auction order at the previous or price when an IEP cannot be formed in POS?
	✓	1	Yes
		]	No
	Ы	leas	se give reasons for your view.
		Ov the	ernight risk can be huge and previous closing price may not reflect e fair price anymore
7:	D	о у	ou have any other comments on the POS enhancement proposal?
	V	7	Yes
		]	No
	Ρ	lea	se give reasons for your view.
		sh	e think at auction limit order with limit price more competitve than IEP tould enjoy same price priority as at auction order and only time tiority matters in that case.

## Part C Consultation Questions – Volatility Control Mechanism Enhancement

8.	to all	HSCI constituent stocks to safeguard market from possible disorderliness ding caused by advances in trading technology?
		Yes
		No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
	vol	M is an important mechanism to protect market from extreme price atility. Agree that non-HSCI stocks would not be suitable to be rered in VCM
9.	If you	prefer other expansion options, please indicate below:
	<u> </u>	Alternative Option 1: Expand to include constituents of Hang Seng LargeCap Index only
		Alternative Option 2: Expand to further include all constituents of Hang Seng LargeCap and MidCap Indexes only
	***************************************	Alternative Option 3: Expand to all equities but with a higher triggering threshold for the non-HSCI stocks
		Others. Please specify:
	Please	e give reasons for your view.
10.	-	u support the proposed trigger thresholds of 10%, 15%, and 20% for Hang Composite LargeCap, MidCap and SmallCap stocks respectively?
	V	Yes

□ No
Please give reasons for your view.
Although thresholds should be set based on stock volatility and liquidity profiles, understand that using market capitalization is simpler for implementation
11. Do you support the proposal to allow multiple triggers in the same trading session?
Yes
✓ No
Please give reasons for your view.
If it is triggered multiple times a day, the market is trying to discover the price outside the threshold.
12. Do you have other suggested enhancements or any other comments for VCM in the securities market?
☑ Yes
□ No
Please give reasons for your view.
Expand the coverage of instruments as proposed by HKEX but keep only one trigger per session.
13. If your answer to Q12 is "Yes", would you support implementing the three enhancement features proposed first, as they can be implemented relatively quickly, before we move on to review or implement some other more complex features?
Yes
✓ No

Please give reasons for your view.
Refer to 12
14. On top of the proposed VCM enhancements, should we also consider a market-level volatility control (such as market-wide circuit breakers) for the Hong Kong market?
☑ Yes
No
Please give reasons for your view.
In general we agree with a market-level volatility control but the instrument-based VCM will also protect the market. Will need more details on such a proposal
15. If your answer to Q14 is "Yes", what kind of model would be suitable and when should we consider it?
Please give reasons for your view.
Refer to 14

## Part D Consultation Questions – Implementation Approach and Timeline

16. How much lead time would you need for the proposed POS enhancements?

$\square$	Under 3 months;
a	4-6 months;
	7-12 months
	Others. Please specify :
Plea	ase give reasons for your view.
17. How	much lead time would you need for the proposed VCM enhancements?
	Under 3 months;
	4-6 months;
	Others. Please specify :
Plea	se give reasons for your view.