## Part B Consultation Questions – Pre-opening Session Enhancement

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes and provide reasons to support your views. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at: <a href="http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/August-2019-Consultation-Paper-on-Market-Microstructure-Enhancements/Consultation-Paper/cp201908.pdf">http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/August-2019-Consultation-Paper/cp201908.pdf</a>

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

- 1. Do you support using the following <u>auction features</u> in POS, similar to CAS:
  - Randomised auction matching:
  - · Allowing at-auction limit orders throughout the session:
  - Allowing short selling orders with a price not lower than the previous closing price; and
  - · Enhanced market transparency.

V	Yes	
	No	
Please give reasons for your view.		

is in general supportive of the new features outlined for POS. The similarities to CAS allows for a less complex implementation as the functionalities already exist in our systems.

However we view that randomised matching is of less importance for the POS compared to CAS given that:

- 1. POS volume is small compared to CAS.
- 2. POS is the beginning of the price discovery process for the market where participants are subject to less completion constraints and benchmark risk, hence a lower chance of excess volatility exposure and gaming behaviour.
- 2. Do you agree that the enhanced POS model should be applied to all equities and funds only similar to CAS, with details as follows:
  - Including equities (including depositary receipts, investment companies, preference shares and stapled securities) and funds (ETFs and REITs)
  - Excluding debt securities, structured products, leveraged and inverse products, equity warrants and rights.

		Yes
	V	No
	Pleas	se give reasons for your view.
	thi lor inv	though this brings the coverage universe POS model inline with CAS, is is a subtraction to the current coverage as certain assets will no ager be tradable during POS. This entails a change in trading practice, vestor education as well as system alterations. As such, it is less sirable.
3.	Do y	ou support applying a two-stage price limit during POS similar to CAS?
		Yes
	Ø	No
	Pleas	se give reasons for your view.
	for	e to the fact that a two-stage price limit mechanism currently exists CAS, CS by and large does not have any issues with implementing the chanics for POS.
	No. giv	nethless, a simple hard limit that runs through the POS will suffice en the lower transaction volume.
	a tv fail nec	re importantly, it should be noted that neither a simple hard limit nor wo-stage price limit addresses the issue that a price equilibrium may to establish without the appropriate limit orders in the market, which cessitates exchange participant to leverage limit orders to "open up" market or risk not executing.
4.	closin	ou support setting the price limits to be initially ±15% from the previous g price, and then to within the lowest ask and highest bid prices recorded end of order input period?
	V	Yes
		No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.

is largely in agreement with the use of a limit from reference price but would like to confirm that this is a "true" limit and not rough guidance.

It is also worth noting that a one-size-fits-all threshold may not be appropriate for the full universe of stocks being traded in the POS and may hinder price discovery

5.	Do you support the proposed time periods in the enhanced POS, or would you prefer the alternative model with the No-cancellation period shortened by 2 minutes such that auction matching may occur latest by 9:20am same as today?		
		Support the proposed time periods	
	Ņ	Prefer the alternative model	
		Not support	
	Please	e give reasons for your view.	
	rand	mentioned in response to question 1, views that dom matching is less important for the POS and prefers to keep the stimeframe same as today.	
6.	Do yo closing	u support the proposal of <u>not</u> matching at-auction order at the previous price when an IEP cannot be formed in POS?	
	Ø	Yes	
		No	
	Please give reasons for your view.		
	ther CAS inve	factors that affect price action from previous close is multifold and efore does not comparable reference to the last traded price for i. If at-auction orders are to be matched at previous closing price, stors could be subject to unexpected volatility or cause unwanted ket impact. It is therefore more applicable to retain the current logic	

7. Do you have any other comments on the POS enhancement proposal?

	Yes	
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	No	
Pleas	se give reasons for your view.	
		7
<u> </u>		l

## Part C Consultation Questions - Volatility Control Mechanism Enhancement

8. Do you support the proposed expansion of VCM stocks in the securi to all HSCl constituent stocks to safeguard market from possible dis in trading caused by advances in trading technology?		ou support the proposed expansion of VCM stocks in the securities market HSCI constituent stocks to safeguard market from possible disorderliness ding caused by advances in trading technology?
		Yes
		No
	Pleas	se give reasons for your view.
	ex	the VCM is intended to protect investors from being subject to cessive volatility and ensure an orderly market, is pportive of the expansion of VCM stocks
9.	If you	prefer other expansion options, please indicate below:
		Alternative Option 1: Expand to include constituents of Hang Seng LargeCap Index only
		Alternative Option 2: Expand to further include all constituents of Hang Seng LargeCap and MidCap Indexes only
	V	Alternative Option 3: Expand to all equities but with a higher triggering threshold for the non-HSCI stocks
		Others. Please specify :
		e give reasons for your view.
	sin lie cor	der coverage of VCM can further protect investors from said Issues in view for question 8. The fact that VCM has never been triggered ce implementation is a likely indication that volatility in the markets within stocks are currently outside the universe, especially non-index astiuents. It would therefore enhance the effective of VCM for it to ver a wider and more needed universe.

10. Do you support the proposed trigger thresholds of 10%, 15%, and 20% for Hang Seng Composite LargeCap, MidCap and SmallCap stocks respectively?

5	<b>1</b>	Yes
	3	No
P	leas	e give reasons for your view.
	hel  effe	endorses the use of tiered thresholds as the granularity ps to better fit VCM to stock characteristics and will balance the ectiveness and frequency of VCM being triggered.
11. D	о уо	u support the proposal to allow multiple triggers in the same trading session?
Z	Ì	Yes
	]	No
Р	leas	e give reasons for your view.
	it is peri	supports multiple triggers in the same trading session as possible that instruments experience more than one excessive lod in each trading session.
	In a inst higi	ddition, we suggest extending the VCM to cover the full trading day tead of excluding the Open/Close period where volatility tends to be h.
		u have other suggested enhancements or any other comments for VCM securities market?
	]	Yes
V	1	No
Pl	lease	e give reasons for your view.
ī		

13. If your answer to Q12 is "Yes", would you support implementing the three enhancement features proposed first, as they can be implemented relatively quickly, before we move on to review or implement some other more complex features?

		Yes
		No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
	On to level v marke	p of the proposed VCM enhancements, should we also consider a market-volatility control (such as market-wide circuit breakers) for the Hong Kong et?
	Ø	Yes
		No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
	it is	holds the view that a market-wide circuit breaker is worth loring as it can alleviate market panic in extreme sceanrios. However important that the threshold set is thoroughly considered and wide bugh to avoid unwanted interruption to normal market operation.
5.	lf you	r answer to Q14 is "Yes", what kind of model would be suitable and when d we consider it?
ļ	Pleas	e give reasons for your view.

## Part D Consultation Questions – Implementation Approach and Timeline

16. How	much lead time would you need for the proposed POS enhancements?
	Under 3 months;
Ø	4-6 months;
	7-12 months
	Others. Please specify :
Plea	ase give reasons for your view.
Ap out req Ple an	e anticipate we will need to make some changes to our market ecutions system and algorithmic engine. art from system changes, It is important that your lead times and roll to process also provide EPs with enough opportunity to test any quired changes thoroughly. The process also consider that, IT budgets for most firms are generally set on annual basis and as much lead time should be given so that firms a factor in the necessary budget for change.
17. How	much lead time would you need for the proposed VCM enhancements?
$\square$	Under 3 months;
	4-6 months;
	Others. Please specify:
Pleas	e give reasons for your view.
like	do not envisage the change required to be major, however would to reiterate same points regarding IT budgets and EP testing cortunity mentioned under our response to Question No.16