Part B Consultation Questions

Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at: https://www.hkex.com,hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/August-2020-Disciplinary-Powers/Consultation-Paper/cp202008.pdf. Please indicate your preference by ticking the appropriate boxes.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

We encourage you to read all of the following questions before responding.

	ropose to amend the existing threshold for imposing a PII Statement and to make ar that a PII Statement can be made whether or not an individual continues in at the time of the PII Statement. Do you agree?
	Yes
\boxtimes	No
lf you	ir answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
	oving the "willful or persistent" pre-requisites for breaches leading to the issue I is the key objection.
\∧/e r	
	ropose to extend the scope of a PII Statement to include directors and senior gement of the relevant listed issuer and any of its subsidiaries. Do you agree?
	gement of the relevant listed issuer and any of its subsidiaries. Do you agree?
mana	Yes
mana	gement of the relevant listed issuer and any of its subsidiaries. Do you agree? Yes No

or se	enior management member of the named listed issuer after a PII Statement has made against him. Do you agree?
	Yes
\boxtimes	No
lf you	ur answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
See	the reason stated in the question number 1.
an ind all its	propose that, after a PII Statement with follow-on actions has been made against dividual, the named listed issuer must include a reference to the PII Statement in announcements and corporate communications unless and until that individual longer its director or senior management member. Do you agree? Yes No
lf you	ir answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
See	the reason stated in the question number 1.
listing memb requir	ropose to extend the current express scope of disclosure in listing applicants' documents and listed issuers' annual reports in respect of their directors and bers of senior management (current and/or proposed, as the case may be) by ing provision of full particulars of any public sanctions made against those duals. Do you agree? Yes No
	r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
	he reason stated in the question number 1.

ш	Yes
\boxtimes	No
If y	our answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
Se	e the reason stated in the question number 1.
	propose to include fulfilment of specified conditions in respect of the denial of ities of the market. Do you agree?
	Yes
\boxtimes	No
lf yc	our answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
	propose to introduce the Director Unsuitability Statement as a new sanction. Do
	agree?
you	agree? Yes
you If yo	agree? Yes No
you If you See	agree? Yes No ur answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
you If you See	Yes No ur answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views. the reason stated in the question number 1.

See	the reason stated in the question number 1.
	
actio	ropose to impose secondary liability on Relevant Parties if they have 'caused n or omission or knowingly participated in a contravention of the Listing Rule ou agree?
	Yes
\boxtimes	No
lf yoι	ir answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
See	the reason stated in the question number 1.
circur	nstances where there has been a failure to comply with a requirement impos
circur by the	nstances where there has been a failure to comply with a requirement impos
circur by the Excha	
circur by the Excha	nstances where there has been a failure to comply with a requirement impose E Listing Division, the Listing Committee or the Listing Review Committee of the lange. Do you agree? Yes
circur by the Excha	nstances where there has been a failure to comply with a requirement impose Listing Division, the Listing Committee or the Listing Review Committee of tange. Do you agree? Yes No
circur by the Excha	nstances where there has been a failure to comply with a requirement impose Listing Division, the Listing Committee or the Listing Review Committee of tange. Do you agree? Yes No r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views
circur by the Excha If you See t	nstances where there has been a failure to comply with a requirement impose Listing Division, the Listing Committee or the Listing Review Committee of tange. Do you agree? Yes No r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views. the reason stated in the question number 1.
circur by the Excha If you See t	nstances where there has been a failure to comply with a requirement imposed Listing Division, the Listing Committee or the Listing Review Committee of the Li
circur by the Excha If you See t	nstances where there has been a failure to comply with a requirement impose Listing Division, the Listing Committee or the Listing Review Committee of ange. Do you agree? Yes No r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views the reason stated in the question number 1. Topose that sanctions may be imposed on all Relevant Parties through second y where a party has failed to comply with a requirement imposed by the Listing Committee or the Listing Review Committee. Do you agree?

See	the reason stated in the question number 1.
accui	propose to explicitly provide in the Rules the obligation to provide complete rate and up-to-date information when interacting with the Exchange in respect or quiries or investigations. Do you agree?
	Yes
\boxtimes	No
lf you	r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
See	the reason stated in the question number 1.
□ ⊠ If you	Yes No r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views. he reason stated in the question number 1.
☐ If you See t	Yes No r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
☐ If you See t	Yes No r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views. the reason stated in the question number 1. Topose to include employees of professional advisers of listed issuers and their diaries as a Relevant Party under the Rules. Do you agree?
If you See t	Yes No r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views. he reason stated in the question number 1. ropose to include employees of professional advisers of listed issuers and their diaries as a Relevant Party under the Rules. Do you agree? Yes

ropose to include guarantors of structured products as a Relevant Party under ules. Do you agree?
Yes
No
r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
he reason stated in the question number 1.
opose to include guarantors for an issue of debt securities as a Relevant Party the MB Rules. Do you agree?
Yes
No
answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
ppose to include parties who give an undertaking to, or enter into an agreement ne Exchange as Relevant Parties under the Rules. Do you agree?
Yes
No
answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views. ne reason stated in the question number 1.

See	the reason stated in the question number 1.
	propose to include express obligations on professional advisers when acting in ection with Rule matters. Do you agree?
	Yes
\boxtimes	No
If you	ir answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
See	the reason stated in the question number 1.
filing r Do ya —	ropose that 'business day' be used as the benchmark for counting the periods for review applications, and for requesting or providing written reasons for decisions ou agree?
filing r Do yo	review applications, and for requesting or providing written reasons for decisions
filing r	review applications, and for requesting or providing written reasons for decisions ou agree?
filing r Do yo	review applications, and for requesting or providing written reasons for decisions ou agree? Yes
filing r Do yo	review applications, and for requesting or providing written reasons for decisions ou agree? Yes No
filing r Do yo	review applications, and for requesting or providing written reasons for decisions ou agree? Yes No
filing r Do yo	review applications, and for requesting or providing written reasons for decisions ou agree? Yes No
filing r Do yo If you We pi	review applications, and for requesting or providing written reasons for decisions on agree? Yes No r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
filing r Do you	review applications, and for requesting or providing written reasons for decisions on agree? Yes No r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
filing r Do yo If you Ve pragree	review applications, and for requesting or providing written reasons for decisions on agree? Yes No r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views. ropose that all review applications must be served on the Secretary. Do you?

We p	propose that the counting of the period for filing review applications be from the of issue of the decision or the written reasons. Do you agree?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
If you	r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
.	
We p	ropose that the counting of the period for requesting written reasons be from the of issue of the decision. Do you agree?
\boxtimes	Yes
	No
If you	r answer to the above question is "no", please provide reasons for your views.
14/0 0	ropose that the counting of the period for providing written reasons be from the
	of receipt of the request. Do you agree?
date d	of receipt of the request. Do you agree?