## Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

https://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/December-2019-Chapter-37-Debt-Issues/Consultation-Paper/cp201912.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

Capitalised terms have the same meaning as defined in the Consultation Paper unless otherwise stated.

1.		you agree with the proposed increase of the NAV Requirement from 100 million to HK\$1 billion?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Plea	se give reasons for your views.
2.	(a)	Do you agree that the Exchange should maintain the current Eligibility Exemption available for State corporations?
		Yes
		No
	Plea	se give reasons for your views.
	(b)	If not, which type of State corporations should comply with Issuer Eligibility Requirements? Please give reasons for your views.
	L	

3.	(a)	Do you agree with the proposed introduction of a minimum issuance size of HK\$100 million (or equivalent in other currencies) for Chapter 37 Debts?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
	(b)	Do you agree that such minimum issuance size shall not apply to tap issuances?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
4.	cover profe	ou agree with the proposal to require issuers to state explicitly on the front of the listing document the intended investor market in Hong Kong (i.e. ssional investors only) for its Chapter 37 Debts, in addition to the existing of required under Rule 37.31?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Pleas	se give reasons for your views.

5.		Do you agree with the proposal to require publication of listing documents fo Chapter 37 Debts on the Exchange's website on the listing date?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	Plea	se give reasons for your views.		
	We	welcome this move towards greater transparency.		
6.	(a)	Do you agree that the Exchange's current disclosure and vetting approach in relation to listing documents for Chapter 37 should remain unchanged, notwithstanding that the intended investors would include HNW Investors?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Plea	Please give reasons for your views.		
	(b)	For the purpose of Rule 37.29, should there be a different standard with specific disclosure requirements in respect of Chapter 37 Debts that are offered to HNW Investors, compared to those that are offered to Institutional Investors, for example, the manner of presenting information such as the terms and conditions and financial information of issuer and any credit support provider (even though the current Hong Kong legal framework does not differentiate disclosure standards between Institutional Investors and HNW Investors)? If so, what should those specific disclosure requirements be?		
		Yes		
	$\boxtimes$	No		
	Pleas	se give reasons for your views.		

7.	(a)	Do you agree that the Exchange should publish disclosure guidance to the market on specified Special Features found in certain Chapter 37 Debts and other disclosure-related matters?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	Pleas	Please give reasons for your views.		
		elcome this development and ask that this guidance be in line with current of practice in this area.		
	(b)	Do you have other suggestions on any additional or alternative proposals that the Exchange may implement to promote disclosure quality and consistency for Chapter 37 Debts?		
		Yes		
	$\boxtimes$	No		
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.		
8.	Do yo	ou agree with the proposal to codify the PI Waiver by revising the definition ofessional investors" under Chapter 37 to include HNW Investors?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	You r	may provide reasons for your views.		
	We w	velcome these proposals to enhance transparency.		

9.	(a)	Do you agree with the proposal to allow eligibility of a REIT Issuer (or a REIT Guarantor) to be assessed by reference to the REIT Assets and REIT Financials respectively, provided that it has recourse to the REIT Assets to satisfy the obligations under the relevant Chapter 37 Debts?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
	(b)	Do you agree that if the relevant REIT is listed on the Exchange, a REIT Issuer (or a REIT Guarantor) should be qualified as a HK Listco and therefore, be exempted from the Issuer Eligibility Requirements?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
10.	Do yo	ou have any comments on the proposed enhancements relating to the nuing obligations of the issuer and guarantor under Chapter 37?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.

4.5

11.	copie applic issue	Do you agree with the proposal to replace the existing requirements to submit copies of constitutional documents and resolutions as part of the listing application documents with a requirement to provide written confirmation by the issuer (or guarantor, as the case may be) in relation to its due incorporation, capacity and authorisation?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	Pleas	se give reasons for your views.		
	We w	velcome these proposals to enhance transparency.		
12.	(a)	Do you agree with the proposal to replace the existing requirement to submit last published financial statements with a new requirement for an issuer (or the guarantor that an issuer relies in fulfilling the Issuer Eligibility Requirements) to submit its audited financial statements to evidence its fulfilment of the Issuer Eligibility Requirements?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	Plea	se give reasons for your views.		
	(b)	Where the issuer (or the guarantor) is exempted from the Issuer Eligibility Requirements or where the required audited financial statements are disclosed in the listing document, do you agree that such issuer (or guarantor) should not be required to separately submit financial statements to the Exchange?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	Plea	Please give reasons for your views.		

13.	Do you agree with the proposal to amend Rule 37.26 to clarify that supplementary listing document includes a pricing supplement?		
	⊠ Yes		
	■ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
14.	The Exchange invites your comments regarding whether the drafting of the proposed housekeeping Rule amendments will give rise to any ambiguities or unintended consequences.		
	We do not anticipate any unintended consequences.		
15.	Do you have any other comments in respect of the matters discussed in the Consultation Paper? If so, please set out your additional comments.		
	We suggest more transparency over the identification of Chapter 37 bonds - for example (1) including ISIN code (or other common identifier in OTC markets) as an identifier; and (2) enabling/providing an "alert subscription" for newly issued/changed Chapter 37 bonds.		