

**Submitted via Qualtrics**

**KPMG  
Company / Organisation  
Accounting Firm**

**Question 1**

**Do you agree with our proposal to remove the documents identified in Table 1 in Schedule II of the Consultation Paper and that doing so will not jeopardise market quality?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

We are in support of the Exchange's proposals to further expand the paperless listing regime, which aligns with the Exchange's commitment to sustainability and the objectives of various ESG initiatives that the Exchange has been driving over the years. We also welcome the Exchange's effort in streamlining practice for the listing application process by trimming down the number of documents or information for submission to the extent that they are no longer deemed necessary, which helps ease the administrative burdens on parties involved in the process. Under the trend of digital transformation, we consider it is the right move to further promote submission of documents and communication of corporate information by electronic means.

**Question 2**

**Do you agree with our proposal to codify the relevant obligations into the Listing Rules or Guidance Materials and repeal the undertakings, confirmations and declarations as set out in Table 2 in Schedule II of the Consultation Paper?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

Please see the response to Question 1.

**Question 3**

**Do you agree with our proposal to repeal the requirement for listing agreements for listing of debt securities (except for debt issues to professional investors), structured products and interests in CIS and investment companies by codifying the relevant obligations as set out in Table 3 in Schedule II of the Consultation Paper?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

Please see the response to Question 1.

**Question 4**

**Do you agree with our proposal to incorporate in the Listing Rules an issuer's obligation to obtain necessary authorisations and consents for its actions set out in Part (e) of Table 1 in Schedule II of the Consultation Paper?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

Please see the response to Question 1.

**Question 5**

**Do you agree with our proposal to require the submission of the overarching undertakings from new applicants and sponsors in the Form A1 referred to in paragraph 38 of the Consultation Paper?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

Please see the response to Question 1.

**Question 6**

**Do you agree with our proposal to consolidate the requirement for personal particulars of directors/ supervisors in Form FF004?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

Please see the response to Question 1.

**Question 7**

**Do you agree with our proposal to remove signature and/or certification requirements for documents set out in Table 5 in Schedule II of the Consultation Paper?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

Please see the response to Question 1.

**Question 8**

**Do you agree with our proposal to remove from the Listing Rules any requirement**

**for submission of multiple copies of the same document and to require submission of one electronic copy only in respect of the documents set out in Table 6 in Schedule II of the Consultation Paper?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

Please see the response to Question 1.

**Question 9**

**Do you agree with our proposal to mandate electronic means as the only mode of submission to the Exchange unless otherwise specified in the Listing Rules or required by the Exchange?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

Please see the response to Question 1.

**Question 10**

**Do you agree with our proposal to mandate the digitalisation of the prospectus authorisation and registration processes?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

Please see the response to Question 1.

**Question 11**

**Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Listing Rules to mandate that listed issuers must disseminate corporate communications to their securities holders electronically if this is permitted by their applicable laws and regulations and their constitutional documents?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

Please see the response to Question 1.

**Question 12**

**Do you agree with our proposal to allow the consent of holders of a listed issuer's securities to be implied for the electronic dissemination of its corporate communications, to the extent permitted under applicable laws and regulations**

**and its constitutional documents?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

Please see the response to Question 1.

**Question 13**

**Do you agree with our proposal to state in the Rules that Actionable Corporate Communications must be sent to the securities holders individually and in electronic form if the holders provide functional electronic contact details?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

Please see the response to Question 1.

**Question 14**

**Do you agree that where a listed issuer does not have functional electronic contact details of a securities holder, an Actionable Corporate Communication must be sent to the holder in hard copy form including a request for the security holder's electronic contact details to facilitate electronic dissemination of Actionable Corporate Communications in future?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

Please see the response to Question 1.

**Question 15**

**As your answer to Question 13 above is yes, do you agree that we should define Actionable Corporate Communications as "any corporate communication that seeks instructions from an issuer's securities holders on how they wish to exercise their rights as the issuer's securities holders"?**

Yes

**Please give reasons for your views.**

Please see the response to Question 1.

**Question 16**

**We invite comments on the manner in which the Appendices to the Listing Rules are proposed to be categorised/amended and whether they will give rise to any**

**ambiguities or unintended consequences.**

We support the Exchange taking a holistic approach to organise and streamline the Appendices to the Listing Rules in a more structured way to facilitate users to navigate through the requirements more efficiently and effectively. We have no issues with the proposals in the consultation paper.

**Question 17**

**Do you agree with our proposal to remove the requirement for physical attendance by members to meet the quorum needed for meetings of the Listing Committee and Listing Review Committee?**

Yes

**Since your answer is “no”, please give reasons for your views.**

**Question 18**

**Do you agree with our proposal to make minor changes to the Listing Rules described in paragraph 122 to reflect current practices and requirements?**

Yes

**Since your answer is “no”, please give reasons for your views.**