

#### Patron 贊助人

The Hon Mrs Carrie Lam Cheng Yuet-ngor GBM GBS

林鄭月娥行政長官 Hon President **崇譽會長** Founder Chairman **創會主席** 

Dr the Hon Moses Cheng GBM GBS OBE JP 鄭慕智博士

Past Chairmen 前任主席

Dr Herbert H M Hui JP (deceased) 許浩明博士(已故)

Mr Peter S H Wong MBA 黃紹開先生

Dr Kelvin Wong JP DBA 黃天祐博士

Mr Henry Lai 賴顯榮律師

Hon Council Members 榮譽理事

Mr Peter S H Wong MBA 黃紹開先生

Mr Cheung Wing Yui, Edward BBS 張永銳律師

Mr Peter Barrett 畢烈先生

Dr Kelvin Wong JP DBA 黃天祐博士

Mr Henry Lai 賴顯榮律師

Mr George Magnus BBS OBE MA(Cantab) 麥理思先生

Dr David Wong GBS JP 黃友嘉博士

Hon Advisers 榮譽顧問

Dr Lau Wah Sum GBS LLD DBA JP 劉華森博士

Mr Vincent Cheng GBS OBE JP 鄭海泉先生

Mr Stephen T H Ng 吳天海先生

Mr Liu Guoyuan JP 劉國元先生

Dr Eddy Fong GBS JP 方正博士

Mr Carlson Tong GBS JP 唐家成先生

2020-2021 Council 理事會:-

Chairman 主席

Dr Christopher To 陶祭博士

Deputy Chairmen 副主席

Ir Edmund K H Leung SBS OBE JP 梁廣瀬工程師

Ms Bonnie S Y Chan 陳心愉女士

Mr Richard Tsang 曾立基先生

Mr William Lo 羅志聰先生

Treasurer 司庫

Mr Man Mo Leung 文暮良先生

Immediate Past Chairman 卸任主席

Mr Henry Lai 賴顯榮律師

Chief Executive Officer 行政總裁

Dr Carlye Tsui BBS MBE JP 徐尉玲博士

Council Members 理事會成員

Dr Leonard S K Chan 陳新國博士

Mr Vincent Chan MH 陳永誠先生

Dr Chen Linlong Mike 陳林龍博士

Mr Hamilton Cheng 鄭炳熙先生

Dr Charles Cheung JP MBA DBA(Hon)張惠彬博士

Dr Justin K H Chiu 趙國雄博士

Mr Richard Ho 何麗康先生

Mr Randy Hung 孔敬權先生

Mr Ip Shing Hing」P 葉成慶律師

Mrs Margaret Leung 梁甘秀玲女士

Mr Ka-Yin Li 李家彦先生

Mr Jeffrey Mak 麥振興律師

Ir Prof John Mok 莫建鄰工程師

Mr Stanley Mok 莫兆光先生

Ms Cynthia Y S Tang 鄧宛舜女士

Mr Jim Wardell 詹華達先生

Mr Stephen Weatherseed 韋大象先生

Mr Andrew Weir 韋安祖先生

Mr Huen Wong BBS JP 王桂壎律師

Mr Kenneth Wong 黃永恩律師

Ms Alice Yip 葉嘉明女士

## 23 September 2020

Corporate and Investor Communications Department Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited 8th Floor, Two Exchange Square 8 Connaught Place Central Hong Kong

Dear Sirs

## Re: Paperless Listing and Documents on Display CP

The Hong Kong Institute of Directors ("HKIoD") is pleased to forward our response to the captioned paper.

HKIoD is Hong Kong's premier body representing directors to foster the long-term success of companies through advocacy and standards-setting in corporate governance and professional development for directors. We are committed to contributing towards the formulation of public policies that are conducive to the advancement of Hong Kong's international status.

In developing the response, we have consulted our members.

Should you require further information regarding our response, please do not hesitate to contact me on tel no.

Thank you very much for your kind attention.

Yours sincerely THE HONG KONG INSTITUTE OF DIRECTORS



Dr Carlye Tsui Chief Executive Officer

Enc

cc: Dr Christopher To, Chairman, HKIoD Mr Henry Lai, Immediate Past Chairman, HKIoD & Chairman, Corporate Governance Policies Committee



Issued on: 23 September 2020

# The Exchange's Consultation Paper on Proposals to Introduce a Paperless Listing & Subscription Regime, Online Display of Documents and Reduction of the Types of Documents on Display

In relation to the captioned consultation paper, The Hong Kong Institute of Directors has the following views and comments.

\* \* \*

## **General comments**

The present consultation is on proposals to introduce a fully paperless listing and subscription regime, replace the requirement of physical display of documents for inspection with the requirement of online publication and reduce the types of documents mandatory for display for notifiable transactions and connected transactions.

HKIoD welcomes the proposals.

With the high Internet penetration rate worldwide, business and many other parts of our daily lives have been moved online. People are less reliant on paper. Many other Hong Kong and overseas regulators have allowed documents to be published or displayed online. The current paper-based requirements have become outdated.

HKIoD holds the belief that the proposals can reduce paper consumption and save costs and time for listing and compliance with the Exchange's document display requirements. It demonstrates the Exchange's and encourage companies' commitment to environmental sustainability. The proposed amendments would also align the requirements of the Exchange with international practices.

In the past, the Exchange has granted waivers to issuers to allow fully paperless subscription process for their Hong Kong public offer tranche. The vast majority of applications under Hong Kong public offer tranche in recent years were made electronically. For online document display and reduction of mandatory display documents, most of the documents subject to the proposed amendments are already required to be made accessible online by other Hong Kong regulators or to be disclosed under the Listing Rules. HKIoD believes that the proposals would not create any particular hardship and issuers are ready for the change.

\* \* \*

## **Consultation questions**

Subject to the general comments above, we state below our response to specific questions as set out in the Consultation Paper.



Question 1	Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Listing Rules to require
	(i) all listing documents in a new listing ("New Listing") to be
	published solely in an online electronic format and cease printed form
	listing documents; and (ii) except for Mixed Media Offers, all New
	Listing subscriptions, where applicable, to be made through online
	electronic channels only?
	Please give reasons for your views.

## HKIoD response

- > AGREE on both (i) and (ii)
  - o The Internet is commonly used nowadays in daily lives and the Exchange has in the past granted waivers to allow fully electronic subscription process. The market is ready for fully paperless listing and subscription.
  - o If issuers wish to provide printed application forms to the prospective public subscribers, they may conduct Mixed Media Offers.

Question 2	As a consequence of our proposal in Question 1, do you agree with our
	proposal to amend the Listing Rules to remove the requirement for
	listed issuers to make available physical copies of listing documents to
	the public at the address(es) set out in a formal notice?
	Please give reasons for your views.

## HKIoD response

## ➤ AGREE

• With the proposed amendments, prospective public subscribers can access to the listing documents anywhere with their electronic devices and may print them out if they think it is necessary. There is no need for issuers to make physical copies available.

Question 3	Do you agree with our proposal to require issuers to only post
	documents online on both the Exchange's e-Publication System and the
	issuer's website ("Online Display Documents") and to remove the
	requirement for their physical display?
	Please give reasons for your views.

## HKIoD response

#### **➤** AGREE

The Internet and electronic gadgets are routinely used by the investing public.
 Very few people would travel to the designated locations to inspect documents.
 The proposed amendment can allow the public to access the documents anytime, anywhere.



Question 4	Do you agree that Online Display Documents should be displayed
	online for a specified period except for those documents that are
	required by the Listing Rules to be made available on an ongoing basis?
	Please give reasons for your views.

## HKIoD response

### **➤** AGREE

O The Online Display Documents would only be relevant to the investing public's and shareholders' consideration, evaluation and decision making for a limited period of time. There is no need and it would be onerous to require issuers to maintain the availability of Online Display Documents for an indefinite period of time.

Question 5	Do you agree that the Exchange should continue to allow redaction of
	Online Display Documents in only very limited circumstances?

## HKIoD response

## ➤ AGREE

• The current case-by-case approach strikes a balance between the public and the issuers' interests.

Question 6	Do you agree that the current definition of "material contract" remains
	fit for purpose and that the Exchange should continue to apply it under
	our proposals?

## HKIoD response

#### **➤** AGREE

The current scope of material contracts remains appropriate in the context of online display.

Question 7	Do you agree that restrictions should not be placed on downloading
	and/or printing Online Display Documents?

## HKIoD response

### **➤** AGREE

 Shareholders and the investing public should have the freedom to download and print the Online Display Documents.



Question 8	Do you agree with our proposal not to put in place a system that would enable issuers to record and verify the identity of a person who accesses
	Online Display Documents?

## HKIoD response

#### **➤** AGREE

o It would seem undesirable for issuers to incur costs to build in a system for identity verification.

Question 9	In respect of a relevant notifiable transaction, do you agree with our proposal to:
	(i) require the issuer to display the contracts pertaining to the transaction only; and
	(ii) remove the requirement to display all material contracts entered into by the issuer within the last two years before the issue of the circular?
	Please give reasons for your views.

## HKIoD response

- > AGREE on both (i) and (ii)
  - o Material information, including information regarding material contracts, relating to issuers in the last two years should have been disclosed by the issuers on a continuous basis under the Listing Rules.
  - o The proposed amendment aligns the rules with the standard of other stock exchanges.

Question 10	In respect of a connected transaction that is subject to the shareholders'
	approval requirement, do you agree with our proposal to:
	(i) require the issuer to display the contracts pertaining to the
	transaction only; and
	(ii) remove the requirement to display contracts referred to in
	the circular and directors' service contracts?
	Please give reasons for your views.

## HKIoD response

- > AGREE on both (i) and (ii)
  - o Material information, including information regarding material contracts, relating to issuers in the last two years should have been disclosed by the issuers on a continuous basis under the Listing Rules. Directors' service contracts not relevant to the transaction in question do not warrant separate disclosure.
  - The proposed amendment aligns the rules with the standard of other stock exchanges.

<END>