Part B Consultation Questions

Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Concept Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017061.pdf

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1. What are your views on the need for Hong Kong to seek to attract a more diverse range of companies and, in particular, those from New Economy industries to list here? Do you agree that the New Board would have a positive impact on Hong Kong's ability to attract additional New Economy issuers to our market?

Please give reasons for your views.

Hong KOng is one of the very few markets that has the least diverse range of companies, thus a New Board to promote New Econonies will drive liquidity and more international companies seeking listing and place Hong Kong as a market that in forward thinking

2. What are your views on whether the targeted companies should be segregated onto a New Board, rather than being included on the Main Board or GEM?

Please give reasons for your views.

Segregation should be the target, as it allows the market to monitor New Economy and track its growth and acceptane as a global listing board

	If a New Board is adopted, what are your views on segmenting the New Board into different segments according to the characteristics described in this paper (e.g. restriction to certain types of investor, financial eligibility etc.)? Should the New Board be specifically restricted to particular industries?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Consideration should be given to Insitutaional Investors and professional otherwise, it become a penny stock board subject to manipulation and volitility. As new economy require a more shopisticated knowledge
	What are your views on the proposed roles of GEM and the Main Board in the context of the proposed overall listing framework?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	What are your views on the proposed criteria for moving from New Board PRO to the other boards? Should a public offer requirement be imposed for companies moving from New Board PRO to one of the other boards?
	Please give reasons for your views.

6.	What are your views on the proposed financial and track record requirements that would apply to issuers on New Board PRO and New Board PREMIUM? Do you agree that the proposed admission criteria are appropriate in light of the targeted investors for each segment?
	Please give reasons for your views.
7.	What are your views on whether the Exchange should reserve the right to refuse an application for listing on New Board PRO if it believes the applicant could meet the eligibility requirements of New Board PREMIUM, GEM or the Main Board?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	If the application demontrates that it is a new economy, then irrepective of size or market capitalsation or valuation It must be in the New Board. As essentially new borad is catered for new economy
8.	What are your views on the proposed requirements for minimum public float and minimum number of investors at listing? Should additional measures be introduced to ensure sufficient liquidity in the trading of shares listed on New Board PRO? If so, what measures would you suggest?
	Please give reasons for your views.

9.	What are your views on whether companies listed on a Recognised US Exchange that apply to list on the New Board should be exempted from the requirement to demonstrate that they are subject to shareholder protection standards equivalent to those of Hong Kong? Should companies listed elsewhere be similarly exempted?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	The New Board should act and be more like NASDAQ
10.	What are your views on whether we should apply a "lighter touch" suitability assessment to new applicants to New Board PRO? If you are supportive of a "lighter touch" approach, what relaxations versus the Main Board's current suitability criteria would you recommend?
	Please give reasons for your views.
11.	What are your views on whether the New Board PRO should be restricted to professional investors only? What criteria should we use to define a professional investor for this purpose?
	Please give reasons for your views.

12.	Should special measures be imposed on Exchange Participants to ensure that investors in New Board PRO-listed securities meet the eligibility criteria for both the initial placing and secondary trading?
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	To avoid manipulation
13.	What are vour views on the proposal for a Financial Advisor to be appointed by
13.	What are your views on the proposal for a Financial Adviser to be appointed by an applicant to list on New Board PRO, rather than applying the existing sponsor regime? If you would advocate more prescriptive due diligence requirements, what specific requirements would you recommend be imposed?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	The actual commericalsation of the project or entity
14.	What are your views on the proposed role of the Listing Committee in respect of each segment of the New Board?
	Please give reasons for your views.

prod enak than	you agree that applicants to listing on New Board PRO should only have to luce a Listing Document that provided accurate information sufficient to ble professional investors to make an informed investment decision, rather a Prospectus? If you would advocate a more prescriptive approach to losure, what specific disclosures would you recommend be required?
	Yes
	No
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
	enables flexibilty and a faster track to acutalistion of the application new economy is progressing these days at incredible pace
Boai segr	at are your views on the proposed continuous listing obligations for the New rd? Do you believe that different standards should apply to the different ments?
Exch	companies that list on the New Board with a WVR structure, should the nange take a disclosure-based approach as described in paragraph 153 of Concept Paper? Should this approach apply to both segments of the New rd?
Plea	se give reasons for your views.
	really, as each segement at the endof the is about drive innovation I time to market for real use

If, in addition, you believe that the Exchange should impose mandatory safeguards for companies that list on the New Board with a WVR structure, what safeguards should we apply? Should the same safeguards apply to both segments of the New Board?
Please give reasons for your views.
Do you agree that the SEHK should allow companies with unconventional governance features (including those with a WVR structure) to list on
PREMIUM or PRO under the "disclosure only" regime described in paragraph 153 of the Concept Paper, if they have a good compliance record as listed companies on NYSE and NASDAQ? Should companies listed elsewhere be similarly exempted?
Please give reasons for your views.
Yes, as the HK Main Board and GEm are restritive to meet todays new economy Thus the NEw Board allows a fresh approach in line owth NASDAQ
What are your views on the suspension and delisting proposals put forward for the New Board?
Please give reasons for your views.
Agree, as there must be good governance

21.	Should New Board-listed companies have to meet quantitative performance criteria to maintain a listing? If so, what criteria should we apply? Do you agree that companies that fail to meet these criteria should be placed on a "watchlist" and delisted if they fail to meet the criteria within a set period of time?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Agree like all lisitng compliance is a must
22.	Do you consider that an even "lighter touch" enforcement regime should apply to the New Board (e.g. an exchange-regulated platform)?
	Yes
	No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Right now I believe it is in line with market expectation
	- End -