Part B Consultation Questions

Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Concept Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017061.pdf

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1. What are your views on the need for Hong Kong to seek to attract a more diverse range of companies and, in particular, those from New Economy industries to list here? Do you agree that the New Board would have a positive impact on Hong Kong's ability to attract additional New Economy issuers to our market?

Please give reasons for your views.

I think that it is absolutely necessary for HK to seek to attract a more diverse range of companies and in particular, those from New Economy industries. As we all know, they represent the future and thus they are going to be a very vital part of the world economy. We've had too many companie in the traditional sectors in this market, it is time for a change, if not too late. I think the New Board would have a positive impact for sure.

2. What are your views on whether the targeted companies should be segregated onto a New Board, rather than being included on the Main Board or GEM?

Please give reasons for your views.

they should be segregared as most of the targeted companies have a brand new business model and thus require the potential investor to value them in a different system.

3.	If a New Board is adopted, what are your views on segmenting the New Board into different segments according to the characteristics described in this paper (e.g. restriction to certain types of investor, financial eligibility etc.)? Should the New Board be specifically restricted to particular industries?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	it is beneficial if certain restrictions were to be imposed to protect different types of investors, simply because some of the target companies may bear high risk. If the listing criteria were set up properly, i believe it could act as the natural filter.
4.	What are your views on the proposed roles of GEM and the Main Board in the context of the proposed overall listing framework?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	GEM may become redundant if the New Board works fine.
5.	What are your views on the proposed criteria for moving from New Board PRO to the other boards? Should a public offer requirement be imposed for companies moving from New Board PRO to one of the other boards?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	They seem to be fine. I believe a public offer would be better.

What are your views on the proposed financial and track record requirements that would apply to issuers on New Board PRO and New Board PREMIUM? Do you agree that the proposed admission criteria are appropriate in light of the targeted investors for each segment?			
Please give reasons for your views.			
Yes, I think so.			
What are your views on whether the Exchange should reserve the right to refuse an application for listing on New Board PRO if it believes the applicant could meet the eligibility requirements of New Board PREMIUM, GEM or the Main Board?			
Please give reasons for your views.			
I think the Exchange should reserve the right to question the purpose of listing on New Board PRO rather than reserve the right to refuse the application.			
What are your views on the proposed requirements for minimum public float and minimum number of investors at listing? Should additional measures be introduced to ensure sufficient liquidity in the trading of shares listed on New Board PRO? If so, what measures would you suggest?			
Please give reasons for your views.			
I think they look good.			

9. What are your views on whether companies listed on a Recognised US Exchange that apply to list on the New Board should be exempted from the requirement to demonstrate that they are subject to shareholder protection standards equivalent to those of Hong Kong? Should companies listed elsewhere be similarly exempted?

Please give reasons for your views.

Only companies listed on a recognised Exchange of developed markets which have sufficient shareholder protection standards could be exempted.

10. What are your views on whether we should apply a "lighter touch" suitability assessment to new applicants to New Board PRO? If you are supportive of a "lighter touch" approach, what relaxations versus the Main Board's current suitability criteria would you recommend?

Please give reasons for your views.

YES.			

11. What are your views on whether the New Board PRO should be restricted to professional investors only? What criteria should we use to define a professional investor for this purpose?

Please give reasons for your views.

New Board PRO should be restricted to professional investors only. criteria should include years of investing experiences, investable assets, and etc.

12.	Should special measures be imposed on Exchange Participants to ensure that investors in New Board PRO-listed securities meet the eligibility criteria for both the initial placing and secondary trading?
	■ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	to better protect the investors
13.	What are your views on the proposal for a Financial Adviser to be appointed by an applicant to list on New Board PRO, rather than applying the existing sponsor regime? If you would advocate more prescriptive due diligence requirements, what specific requirements would you recommend be imposed?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	a FA is not necessary, but more prescriptive due diligence requirements should be imposed.
14.	What are your views on the proposed role of the Listing Committee in respect of each segment of the New Board?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	similar roles to the existing listing committee.

15.	Do you agree that applicants to listing on New Board PRO should only have to produce a Listing Document that provided accurate information sufficient to enable professional investors to make an informed investment decision, rather than a Prospectus? If you would advocate a more prescriptive approach to disclosure, what specific disclosures would you recommend be required?
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
16.	What are your views on the proposed continuous listing obligations for the New Board? Do you believe that different standards should apply to the different segments?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	a continuous listing obligations is necessary, and different standars to different segments are also necessary.
17.	For companies that list on the New Board with a WVR structure, should the Exchange take a disclosure-based approach as described in paragraph 153 of this Concept Paper? Should this approach apply to both segments of the New Board?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Yes

8.	If, in addition, you believe that the Exchange should impose mandatory safeguards for companies that list on the New Board with a WVR structure, what safeguards should we apply? Should the same safeguards apply to both segments of the New Board?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Yes
9.	Do you agree that the SEHK should allow companies with unconventional governance features (including those with a WVR structure) to list on
	PREMIUM or PRO under the "disclosure only" regime described in paragraph 153 of the Concept Paper, if they have a good compliance record as listed companies on NYSE and NASDAQ? Should companies listed elsewhere be similarly exempted?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Only companies listed on a recognised Exchange of developed markets which have sufficient disclosure, and good comopliance record could be exempted
0.	What are your views on the suspension and delisting proposals put forward for the New Board?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	They look good

21.	criteria to maintain a listing? If so, what criteria should we apply? Do you agree that companies that fail to meet these criteria should be placed on a "watchlist" and delisted if they fail to meet the criteria within a set period of time?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Yes
22.	Do you consider that an even "lighter touch" enforcement regime should apply to the New Board (e.g. an exchange-regulated platform)?
	■ No
	Please give reasons for your views.