Part B Consultation Questions

Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Concept Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017061.pdf

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1. What are your views on the need for Hong Kong to seek to attract a more diverse range of companies and, in particular, those from New Economy industries to list here? Do you agree that the New Board would have a positive impact on Hong Kong's ability to attract additional New Economy issuers to our market?

Please give reasons for your views.

i 100% agree. if you only look at othe global eexchages it is A MUST... if you want to grow your economey you need to invest and to ivest you need to attrack new companies

2. What are your views on whether the targeted companies should be segregated onto a New Board, rather than being included on the Main Board or GEM?

Please give reasons for your views.

i think you need a new board. this is the same think happen in the US with Nasdaq

3. If a New Board is adopted, what are your views on segmenting the New Board into different segments according to the characteristics described in this paper (e.g. restriction to certain types of investor, financial eligibility etc.)? Should the New Board be specifically restricted to particular industries?

Please give reasons for your views.

My view is as HKEx intrudce a new board the investors base should be more professional and should include institutional investors and QIB investors. in my vie this will be a great way to establish the confidence of the overall investors and companies.i

4. What are your views on the proposed roles of GEM and the Main Board in the context of the proposed overall listing framework?

Please give reasons for your views.

i think that each board has established its role in the overall china and HK economy there for there is a need for board that sollud represent the innovation sectors of international tech companies that trying to grow into china.

5. What are your views on the proposed criteria for moving from New Board PRO to the other boards? Should a public offer requirement be imposed for companies moving from New Board PRO to one of the other boards?

Please give reasons for your views.

i agree. i think it is very important to build strong stability in forming new board and then growint it. it is in my view the same process Nasdaq build its reputation

6.	What are your views on the proposed financial and track record requirements that would apply to issuers on New Board PRO and New Board PREMIUM? Do you agree that the proposed admission criteria are appropriate in light of the targeted investors for each segment? Please give reasons for your views.
	agree. you have to keep some tool for the exchange to make sure that there is a system to help investors and companies to meet and explore opprtunites
7.	What are your views on whether the Exchange should reserve the right to refuse an application for listing on New Board PRO if it believes the applicant could meet the eligibility requirements of New Board PREMIUM, GEM or the
	Main Board? Please give reasons for your views.
	yes the exchsnge should have the right to do it.
8.	What are your views on the proposed requirements for minimum public float
0.	and minimum number of investors at listing? Should additional measures be introduced to ensure sufficient liquidity in the trading of shares listed on New Board PRO? If so, what measures would you suggest?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	liquidity in my view is the most imprtent think. so if there is any think the exchange could do to help this issue then it is extremly welcome.

9.	What are your views on whether companies listed on a Recognised US Exchange that apply to list on the New Board should be exempted from the requirement to demonstrate that they are subject to shareholder protection standards equivalent to those of Hong Kong? Should companies listed elsewhere be similarly exempted? Please give reasons for your views.
	yes but with respect to leading exchages ie NASDAQ, NYSE, TASE, LES
10.	What are your views on whether we should apply a "lighter touch" suitability assessment to new applicants to New Board PRO? If you are supportive of a "lighter touch" approach, what relaxations versus the Main Board's current suitability criteria would you recommend?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	i agree with light touch in order to serve the investors intrest
11.	What are your views on whether the New Board PRO should be restricted to professional investors only? What criteria should we use to define a professional investor for this purpose?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	my guess to follw overall world criteria for QIB.

12.	Should special measures be imposed on Exchange Participants to ensure that investors in New Board PRO-listed securities meet the eligibility criteria for both the initial placing and secondary trading?
	■ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
13.	What are your views on the proposal for a Financial Adviser to be appointed by an applicant to list on New Board PRO, rather than applying the existing sponsor regime? If you would advocate more prescriptive due diligence requirements, what specific requirements would you recommend be imposed?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	i would follow same like in the US (Nasdaq)
14.	What are your views on the proposed role of the Listing Committee in respect of each segment of the New Board?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	agree

	Do you agree that applicants to listing on New Board produce a Listing Document that provided accurate enable professional investors to make an informed in than a Prospectus? If you would advocate a more disclosure, what specific disclosures would you recommend.	information sufficient to vestment decision, rather prescriptive approach to
	Yes	
	No	
	Please give reasons for your views.	
16.	What are your views on the proposed continuous listin Board? Do you believe that different standards show segments?	5 5
	Please give reasons for your views.	
	yes	
	yes	
17.	For companies that list on the New Board with a W Exchange take a disclosure-based approach as describing Concept Paper? Should this approach apply to be Board?	ibed in paragraph 153 of
17.	For companies that list on the New Board with a W Exchange take a disclosure-based approach as describis Concept Paper? Should this approach apply to be	ibed in paragraph 153 of
17.	For companies that list on the New Board with a W Exchange take a disclosure-based approach as describis Concept Paper? Should this approach apply to be Board?	ibed in paragraph 153 of

18.	If, in addition, you believe that the Exchange should impose mandatory safeguards for companies that list on the New Board with a WVR structure, what safeguards should we apply? Should the same safeguards apply to both segments of the New Board?
	Please give reasons for your views.
10	Do you garge that the CELIK should allow companies with upgenventional
19.	Do you agree that the SEHK should allow companies with unconventional governance features (including those with a WVR structure) to list on PREMIUM or PRO under the "disclosure only" regime described in paragraph 153 of the Concept Paper, if they have a good compliance record as listed companies on NYSE and NASDAQ? Should companies listed elsewhere be similarly exempted?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	yes. my view that today the market is open and investors could invest where ever they whish.
20.	What are your views on the suspension and delisting proposals put forward for the New Board?
	Please give reasons for your views.
	agree

21.	Should New Board-listed companies have to meet quantitative performance criteria to maintain a listing? If so, what criteria should we apply? Do you agree that companies that fail to meet these criteria should be placed on a "watchlist" and delisted if they fail to meet the criteria within a set period of time? Please give reasons for your views.
	agree
22.	Do you consider that an even "lighter touch" enforcement regime should apply to the New Board (e.g. an exchange-regulated platform)?
	✓ Yes✓ NoPlease give reasons for your views.
	- End -