Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/June-2018-Backdoor-and-Continuing-Listing/Consultation-Paper/cp201806.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

	you agree with the proposal to codify the assessment criteria under the ciple based test in a Note to the proposed Rule 14.06B?
	Yes
	No
If yo	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
con	you agree with the proposal to extend the current criterion "issue of restricted vertible securities" in the principle based test to include any change in control le facto control of issuers?
con	vertible securities" in the principle based test to include any change in control
or d	vertible securities" in the principle based test to include any change in control le facto control of issuers?
con or d	vertible securities" in the principle based test to include any change in control le facto control of issuers? Yes
con or d	vertible securities" in the principle based test to include any change in control le facto control of issuers? Yes No

	As regards the "series of arrangements" criterion, do you agree with the proposal to include transactions and arrangements that take place is reasonable proximity or are otherwise related and normally within a three-year period?
	Yes
V	No
lf y	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
de bei We exi Th list	pecially for those struggling to revive their operations by introducing or veloping new businesses or operations, which acquisition may be neficial to the shareholders. In note that the proposed rule does not intend to restrict business pansion or diversification that has taken place over three years or more, e proposed rule will inevitably restrict the business expansion of the ted companies as the Exchange encourages the business expansion to read over a long period of time. The Exchange should strike a balance tween allowing genuine commercial transactions to take place on one
Th un 24	nd and proper and reasonable regulation of market conduct on the her hand. e extension of aggregation period from 24 months to 36 months may dermine the genuine business expansion. We suggest that the existing month aggregation period would be sufficient for the purpose of stricting backdoor listing.
Th un 24 res	her hand. e extension of aggregation period from 24 months to 36 months may dermine the genuine business expansion. We suggest that the existing month aggregation period would be sufficient for the purpose of stricting backdoor listing. Do you agree with the proposal to amend the RTO Rule 14.06B to clarify the a series of acquisitions may include proposed and/or completed acquisitions?
Th un 24 res	her hand. e extension of aggregation period from 24 months to 36 months may dermine the genuine business expansion. We suggest that the existing month aggregation period would be sufficient for the purpose of stricting backdoor listing. Do you agree with the proposal to amend the RTO Rule 14.06B to clarify the
Thun 24 res	her hand. e extension of aggregation period from 24 months to 36 months may dermine the genuine business expansion. We suggest that the existing month aggregation period would be sufficient for the purpose of stricting backdoor listing. Do you agree with the proposal to amend the RTO Rule 14.06B to clarify the a series of acquisitions may include proposed and/or completed acquisitions?

(a)	Do you agree with the proposal to retain the bright line tests under Rules 14.06(6)(a) and (b) in a Note to the proposed Rule 14.06B?
	Yes
	No
lf y	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
(b)	Do you agree with the proposal to extend the aggregation period from 24 months to 36 months under the bright line test currently set out in Rule 14.06(6)(b)?
	Yes
	No
If yo	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	ease see our reply to 3(a) regarding extension of aggregation period m 24 months to 36 months.
in the second	
(a)	Do you agree with the proposed changes to Rule 14.92 (proposed Rule 14.06E) as described in paragraph 56 of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	No
If vo	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
We	suggest that the existing 24-month period would be sufficient for the rpose of restriction backdoor listing.

	Yes
	No
lf yo	ur answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
pro thre The unc	suggest that the Exchange should publish the criteria in applying the posed rule to single largest substantial shareholder, e.g. the relevant eshold of shareholding of such single largest substantial shareholder. It discretion proposed to be granted to the Exchange may create certainty for the listed companies to proceed with transactions that the caught by the proposed rule.
	suggest that 24-month period would be sufficient for the purpose of triction backdoor listing.
	Do you agree with the proposal to add a new Rule 14.06C for "extre transactions" as described in paragraph 62 of the Consultation Paper?
	Yes
	No
lf yo	ur answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
` '	Do you agree with the disclosure requirements for circulars of extre transactions set out in proposed Rules 14.53A(1) and 14.69?
` '	Do you agree with the disclosure requirements for circulars of extre transactions set out in proposed Rules 14.53A(1) and 14.69? Yes
` 1 	transactions set out in proposed Rules 14.53A(1) and 14.69?

	(c) Do you agree with the due diligence requirements for extreme transactions under proposed Rule 14.53A(2)?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
7.	(a) Do you agree with the proposal to amend Rule 14.54 and to add Rule 14.06C(2) as described in paragraph 69(i) of the Consultation Paper?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	(b) Do you agree with the proposal to amend Rule 14.54 to impose additional requirements on RTOs proposed by Rule 13.24 issuers as described in paragraph 69(ii) of the Consultation Paper?
	□ Yes
	☑ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

Given it is very likely that the shares of the Rule 13.24 issuer are suspended and the shares of such issuer may be delisted if there is no external assistance offered to the issuer on its operations, such additional requirements are unduly burdensome for the Rule 13.24 issuer to seek white knight to rescue its operation, which may not be beneficial to the shareholders of the Rule 13.24 issuer.

Do you agree with the proposed Rule 14.57A to clarify the track record requirements for extreme transactions and RTOs that involve a series of transactions and/or arrangements?
Yes
No
our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
Do you agree with the proposed Rule 4.30 that sets out the requirements for preparing pro forma income statement of all the acquisition targets in the entire series of acquisitions (where applicable, would include any new business developed by the issuer that forms part of the series) for the track record period?
preparing pro forma income statement of all the acquisition targets in the entire series of acquisitions (where applicable, would include any new business developed by the issuer that forms part of the series) for the track record
preparing pro forma income statement of all the acquisition targets in the entire series of acquisitions (where applicable, would include any new business developed by the issuer that forms part of the series) for the track record period?
preparing pro forma income statement of all the acquisition targets in the entire series of acquisitions (where applicable, would include any new business developed by the issuer that forms part of the series) for the track record period? Yes No
preparing pro forma income statement of all the acquisition targets in the entire series of acquisitions (where applicable, would include any new business developed by the issuer that forms part of the series) for the track record period? Yes
1

Do you agree with the proposal to add a new Rule 14.06D to codify, with modification, the practice under Guidance Letter GL84-15 as described in paragraph 81 of the Consultation Paper?

	☑ Yes	
	□ No	
	f your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	_
79.	Do you agree with the proposal to require issuers to have a business we sufficient level of operations and assets of sufficient value to support its operations warrant the continued listing of the issuer's securities?	
	□ Yes	
	□ No	
	f your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	
44	a) De you agree with the proposalte add a Note to the proposed Pule 13 24/	1) ac
11.	a) Do you agree with the proposal to add a Note to the proposed Rule 13.24(described in paragraphs 107 to 109 of the Consultation Paper?	1) as
	□ Yes	
	□ No	
	f your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	
	b) Do you agree with the proposal to remove the Note to Rule 18.24 as desc in paragraph 112 of the Consultation Paper?	ribed
	□ Yes	
	□ No	