Submitted via Qualtrics Personal view **Question 1:** It is proposed that only the Applicable Securities, i.e. equities, REITs and equity warrants, will undergo minimum spread reduction. Do you agree? Yes If not, please provide reasons: N/A Question 2: Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal to keep the minimum spreads of price bands below \$0.5 and above \$50 unchanged? Yes If not, please provide reasons: N/A Question 3: Do you agree with the proposed selection of the price bands and/ or the proposed magnitude of reduction of minimum spreads for phase 1 (i.e. 50% to 60% reduction to stocks priced between \$10 and \$50 to achieve 4 to 10 bps for tick-toprice ratios)?

N/A

Yes

Question 4:

If not, please provide reasons:

Do you agree with the proposed selection of the price band and/ or the proposed magnitude of reduction of minimum spreads for phase 2 (i.e. 50% reduction to stocks priced between \$0.5 and \$10 to achieve 5 to 100 bps for tick-to-price ratios)?
Yes
If not, please provide reasons:
N/A
Question 5:
Continued use of a single spread table model with increasing minimum spreads along with price bands is proposed. Do you agree?
Yes
If not, please provide reasons:
N/A
Question 6:
Are you supportive of a multiple spread table model for the same type of securities?
No comment
If so, what eligibility criteria would you suggest?
N/A
If not, what challenges would you foresee in the implementation of a multiple spread table model? Please elaborate:
N/A
Question 7:
Do you agree to the inclusion of percentage-based requirement on top of the existing spread based requirements (i.e. either ±24 spreads or 3.5% from the

reference price, whichever is greater in percentage terms) in the quotation rules, including the relevant rules applicable in different trading sessions and transactions concluded on and outside of the Exchange's trading system?

Yes

If not, please provide reasons:

N/A

Question 8:

Are you aware of any infrastructure impact which may arise from the proposed minimum spread reduction, including but not limited to a 3 decimal place system set up for Exchange Traded Options trades?

Yes, details as follows

Please elaborate and explain the potential impact, including the possible lead time required for the additional infrastructure changes, if any.

will lead to more fills, hopefully, algo behaviour changes for the better and brokers don't try to slice a minimum number of lots to avoid paying higher exchange fees

Question 9:

Do you agree with the proposed six-month lead time before effecting the new Spread Table for the Applicable Securities under phase 1?

Yes

If not, what would be a reasonable length and why?

N/A

Question 10:

If the Exchange decides to implement phase 2 proposed after the review of phase 1, how much lead time would you need?

Under 3 months

Others, please specify and give reasons for your view:

N/A

Question 11:

Do you have any other comments regarding the proposed minimum spread reduction in the Hong Kong securities market?

N/A