

Submitted via Qualtrics

(Anonymous)

Personal view

Corporate Finance Firm / Bank Staff

Question 1

Do you agree that the WVR Financial Eligibility Test thresholds should be lowered?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 2

Do you agree with the proposed WVR Financial Eligibility Test thresholds (as set out in paragraph 91 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 3

Do you agree with the proposal to accept a 20:1 WVR Ratio Cap if an applicant has a market capitalisation of at least HK\$40 billion at the time of listing (see paragraph 103 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 4

Do you agree with the proposal to clarify that the Exchange may be prepared to accept the listing of an applicant whose WVR beneficiaries collectively hold a lower minimum economic interest at listing only if such lower underlying economic interest, at the time of the applicant's initial listing: (a) represents at least 5% of the applicant's total issued share capital; and (b) has an amount of at least HK\$4 billion (see paragraph 105 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 5

Do you agree with the proposal to provide a choice of Route A and Route B that applicants can use to meet the Innovative Company Requirements (as set out in paragraph 126 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 6(a)

In respect of Route A, do you agree with the proposed retention of the current Specialist Company Presumptions (as set out in paragraph 127(a) of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 6(b)

In respect of Route A, do you agree with the proposed "innovative" presumption for Qualified Biotech Applicants and Qualified Specialist Technology Applicants (as set out in paragraphs 127(b), 132 and 133 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 6(c)

In respect of Route A, do you agree with the proposed refinements to the Innovative Characteristics that are applicable to Route A (as set out in paragraphs 127(c), 129 and 130 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 7(a)

Do you agree with the proposed refinements to the Innovative Characteristics that are applicable to Route B (as set out in paragraphs 129 and 131 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 7(b)

Do you agree with the proposed guidance on the meaning of a “sophisticated investor” for the purpose of the “external validation” requirement (as set out in paragraph 134 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 7(c)

Do you agree with the proposed guidance on what constitutes “meaningful third-party investment” for Route B applicants for the purpose of the “external validation” requirement (as set out in paragraph 135 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 8

Do you agree with the proposal to lower the financial eligibility thresholds for a secondary listing of an overseas issuer with a WVR structure to align them with those proposed for WVR issuers with a primary listing (see paragraph 173 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 9

Do you agree with the proposal to lower the market capitalisation threshold under Criteria B from HK\$10 billion to HK\$6 billion (see paragraph 177 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 10

Do you agree with the proposal to retain the market capitalisation threshold under Criteria A (see paragraph 178 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 11

What measures (if any) do you think the Exchange should implement to further facilitate the listings, in Hong Kong, of issuers listed overseas (see paragraphs 198 and 199 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons and specify any accompanying conditions for your suggested measures.

Question 12

Do you agree with the proposal to codify the existing guidance into a Rule to state that an applicant will be considered to have satisfied the Ownership Continuity Requirement if it can demonstrate, to the Exchange's satisfaction, that there was no material change in influence on management during the Relevant Period despite the change in controlling shareholder over that period to address any packaging concerns (see paragraphs 205 and 206 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 13

Do you agree with the proposed consequential updates to our guidance (as set out in paragraph 207 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 14

Do you agree with the proposal to expand the permitted use of US GAAP (as set out in paragraph 213 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 15

Do you agree with the proposal to remove the requirement that a US-listed issuer using US GAAP must revert to preparing financial statements using HKFRS or IFRS if it subsequently delists from the US (see paragraph 214 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 16

Do you agree with the proposal to remove the requirement for a Reconciliation Statement produced for the purpose of unaudited financial results to be reviewed by auditors (see paragraph 215 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 17

Do you agree with the proposal to allow Eligible Specialist Companies to seek a listing under the applicable Specialist Chapters (see paragraph 232 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 18

Do you agree with the proposed modifications to the additional requirements under the Specialist Chapters to be imposed on Eligible Specialist Companies (as set out in paragraph 233 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 19

Do you agree with the proposal to remove the Publication Requirements for all listing applicants, such that a listing applicant (including a listing applicant associated with an Issuer-related Listing Application) may choose not to publish its AP at the time it submits its listing application, in which case it would only be required to publish an OC Announcement on the same date as it publishes its PHIP (as set out in paragraph 260 of the Consultation Paper)?

No

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

should make adequate disclosure and live sufficient time to the public before listing

Question 20(a)

Do you agree with the proposal that, in addition to the existing Return Application Details, the identities of other professional parties responsible for the Application Materials also be displayed on the designated webpage of the Exchange (as set out in paragraphs 264 to 265 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 20(b)

Do you agree with the list of professional parties proposed to be considered as responsible for the Application Materials for the above purpose (as set out in Box 1 under paragraph 265 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 21

Do you agree with the proposal to amend the starting point of the 8-week moratorium to either: (a) the date on which all applicable review procedures in respect of the Listing Division's decision to return the listing application have been completed; or (b) the date on which the time period for invoking any such review procedures has lapsed (as set out in paragraph 266 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Question 22

Do you agree with the consequential changes to issuers' disclosure obligations in relation to Issuer-related Listing Applications (as set out in paragraph 268 of the Consultation Paper)?

Please give reasons for your views and any alternative suggestions.

Please provide your overall comments (if any) regarding the Consultation Paper which have not been covered in the questions above.

