

Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

<http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/May-2019-Review-of-ESG-Guide/Consultation-Paper/cp201905.pdf>.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

Timeframe for Publication of ESG Reports

1. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Main Board Listing Rule 13.91 and GEM Listing Rule 17.103 to shorten the time required to publish an environmental, social and governance (“**ESG**”) report from three months after the publication of the annual report to within four months for Main Board issuers or three months for GEM issuers from the financial year-end date?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Though the consultation paper states that there are 61% of listed companies publish ESG report integrated with annual report. The sample size is not representative enough because there are just 400 companies being checked. So, the real situation may not be shown.

Also, understanding that this proposal's rationale is to let the investor to view non-financial information within the same period of annual report. Yet, there are many kinds of investors. For the short-term investors, they may not view ESG report as an important component to make investment decision. For the long-term investors, an earlier publication of ESG report may not create a big difference on the decision. Lastly, the listed companies may face tight timeline to publish annual report and ESG report. This may affect the quality of ESG report.

Printed Form of ESG Reports

2. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Listing Rules and the Guide to clarify that issuers are not required to provide printed form of the ESG report to shareholders unless responding to specific requests, but are required to notify shareholders that the ESG report has been published on the Exchange's and the issuer's websites?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

save paper

Introducing Mandatory Disclosure Requirements

General

3. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce Mandatory Disclosure Requirements (“MDR”)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

enhance report quality

Governance Structure

4. If your response to Question 3 is positive, do you agree with our proposal to introduce an MDR requiring a statement from the board containing the following elements:

(a) a disclosure of the board’s oversight of ESG issues?

(b) the process used to identify, evaluate and manage material ESG-related issues (including risks to the issuer’s businesses); and

(c) how the board reviews progress made against ESG-related goals and targets?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

board involvement in ESG is critical for ESG success

5. Do you agree with our proposal to set out in a note that the board statement should include information on the issuer's current ESG management approach, strategy, priorities and goals/targets and an explanation of how they relate to the issuer's businesses?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

let the reader know's the top management direction in ESG

Reporting Principles

6. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce an MDR requiring disclosure of an explanation on how the issuer has applied the Reporting Principles in the preparation of the ESG report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

The requirement has already adopted the reporting principle, for example, for materiality principle, it requires the issuers to conduct materiality assessment and the board to conduct risk assessment. It is thought that an explanation on how the issuer has applied the reporting principles is redundant.

7. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on "materiality" to make it clear that materiality of ESG issues is to be determined by the board and that the issuer must disclose a description of significant stakeholders identified, the process and results of the issuer's stakeholder engagement (if any), and the criteria for the selection of material ESG factors?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

let the process of materiality assessment more transparent

8. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on “quantitative” to:

(a) require disclosure of information on the standards, methodologies, assumptions and/or calculation tools used, and source of the conversion factors used for the reporting of emissions/energy consumption (where applicable); and

(b) clarify that while key performance indicators (“KPIs”) for historical data must be measurable, targets may be expressed by way of directional statements or quantitative descriptions?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

target setting is important for the issuers to improve ESG performance

Reporting Boundary

9. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to include an MDR requiring an explanation of the ESG report’s reporting boundary, disclosing the process used to identify the specific entities or operations that are included in the ESG report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

let the reader has a clearer understanding on what the report refers to

Introducing Aspect on Climate Change and Revising the Environmental KPIs

Climate Change

10. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Aspect A4 requiring:
- (a) disclosure of policies on measures to identify and mitigate the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer; and
 - (b) a KPI requiring a description of the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer, and the actions taken to manage them?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

It seems that (a) and (b) are similar. When the issuer discloses (a), they must cover (b). It is suggested to keep (a) only.

Targets

11. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Environmental KPIs to require disclosure of a description of targets set regarding emissions, energy use and water efficiency, waste reduction, etc. and steps taken to achieve them?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

let the reader knows how determined the issuer wants to improve the environmental performance

GHG Emissions

12. Do you agree with our proposal to revise an Environmental KPI to require disclosure of Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas (“**GHG**”) emissions?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

has a more comprehensive picture on understanding the type of emissions

Upgrading the Disclosure Obligation of the Social KPIs

13. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the disclosure obligation of all Social KPIs to “comply or explain”?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

some issuers may think that some KPI information are sensitive to disclose publicly,
e.g. no of supplier

Revising the Social KPIs

Employment Types

14. Do you agree with our proposal to revise a KPI to clarify “employment types” should include “full- and part-time” staff?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

let the readers have a clearer picture on the issuers' employee profile

Rate of Fatalities

15. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the KPI on fatalities to require disclosure of the number and rate of work-related fatalities occurred in each of the past three years including the reporting year?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

occupational, health & safety should be a material aspect for all issuers

Supply Chain Management

16. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce the following new KPIs in respect of supply chain management?

(a) Description of practices used to identify environmental and social risks along the supply chain, and how they are implemented and monitored.

(b) Description of practices used to promote environmentally preferable products and services when selecting suppliers, and how they are implemented and monitored.

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

agree with (b) but hesitated with (a). (a) requires the issuers set up a supplier management system. This works for big companies. But for the medium or small-sized companies, their bargaining power may be weaker than the supplier to some extent. This leads to difficulty to impelment.

Anti-corruption

17. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new KPI requiring disclosure of anti-corruption training provided to directors and staff?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

regular training is required to keep the employee updated

Encouraging Independent Assurance

18. Do you agree with the proposal to revise the Guide's wording on independence assurance to state that the issuer may seek independent assurance to strengthen the credibility of ESG information disclosed; and where independent assurance is obtained, the issuer should describe the level, scope and processes adopted for assurance clearly in the ESG report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

encourage the issuers to enhance data accuracy

- End -