

## Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

<http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/May-2019-Review-of-ESG-Guide/Consultation-Paper/cp201905.pdf>.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

### Timeframe for Publication of ESG Reports

1. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Main Board Listing Rule 13.91 and GEM Listing Rule 17.103 to shorten the time required to publish an environmental, social and governance (“**ESG**”) report from three months after the publication of the annual report to within four months for Main Board issuers or three months for GEM issuers from the financial year-end date?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Main Board issuers should have adequate time to prepare ESG report, provided there will not be too many additional disclosure requirements after this consultation.
---

### Printed Form of ESG Reports

2. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Listing Rules and the Guide to clarify that issuers are not required to provide printed form of the ESG report to shareholders unless responding to specific requests, but are required to notify shareholders that the ESG report has been published on the Exchange’s and the issuer’s websites?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

It is good for the sake of environmental protection.

## Introducing Mandatory Disclosure Requirements

### General

3. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce Mandatory Disclosure Requirements (“**MDR**”)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

It requires the board's leadership role and to promote accountability of the board over ESG issues. We worry that the Board will be overloaded, given the responsibilities of directors on corporate governance, risk and compliance have been increased significantly in recent years.

### Governance Structure

4. If your response to Question 3 is positive, do you agree with our proposal to introduce an MDR requiring a statement from the board containing the following elements:

(a) a disclosure of the board's oversight of ESG issues?

(b) the process used to identify, evaluate and manage material ESG-related issues (including risks to the issuer's businesses); and

(c) how the board reviews progress made against ESG-related goals and targets?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Once again, there will be a lot more for the Board to do about ESG.

5. Do you agree with our proposal to set out in a note that the board statement should include information on the issuer's current ESG management approach, strategy, priorities and goals/targets and an explanation of how they relate to the issuer's businesses?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Small-and-medium-sized listed companies have very limited resources to set targets, priorities and strategies for ESG. Recommend to adopt these later.

### Reporting Principles

6. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce an MDR requiring disclosure of an explanation on how the issuer has applied the Reporting Principles in the preparation of the ESG report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Reporting on reporting principles is reasonable and these will enable readers to understand the standards for preparing the ESG information.

7. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on "materiality" to make it clear that materiality of ESG issues is to be determined by the board and that the issuer must disclose a description of significant stakeholders identified, the process and results of the issuer's stakeholder engagement (if any), and the criteria for the selection of material ESG factors?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

This will give us focus on the critical issues of our ESG environment.

8. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on “quantitative” to:

(a) require disclosure of information on the standards, methodologies, assumptions and/or calculation tools used, and source of the conversion factors used for the reporting of emissions/energy consumption (where applicable); and

(b) clarify that while key performance indicators (“KPIs”) for historical data must be measurable, targets may be expressed by way of directional statements or quantitative descriptions?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

It will enable investors/readers to understand the standards and methodologies of the preparation of the ESG data.

### Reporting Boundary

9. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to include an MDR requiring an explanation of the ESG report’s reporting boundary, disclosing the process used to identify the specific entities or operations that are included in the ESG report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

It will enable investors/readers to have a thorough understanding on the scope of the ESG report.

## Introducing Aspect on Climate Change and Revising the Environmental KPIs

### Climate Change

10. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Aspect A4 requiring:
- (a) disclosure of policies on measures to identify and mitigate the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer; and
  - (b) a KPI requiring a description of the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer, and the actions taken to manage them?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Many companies will find that there is very limited they can do to mitigate the climate-related issues. It will also be difficult to set policies on measures to identify and mitigate the significant climate-related issues.

### Targets

11. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Environmental KPIs to require disclosure of a description of targets set regarding emissions, energy use and water efficiency, waste reduction, etc. and steps taken to achieve them?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

As a company in the financial industry, it is difficult for a stable office operating environment to have progress on the reduction of carbon emission every year.

## GHG Emissions

12. Do you agree with our proposal to revise an Environmental KPI to require disclosure of Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas (“**GHG**”) emissions?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Scope 1 and 2 are already included in the calculations of total greenhouse gas emissions and there will have no difficulties in disclosing them.

## **Upgrading the Disclosure Obligation of the Social KPIs**

13. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the disclosure obligation of all Social KPIs to “comply or explain”?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

It will enable investors/readers to have a thorough picture on our performance on social responsibilities.



## Revising the Social KPIs

### Employment Types

14. Do you agree with our proposal to revise a KPI to clarify “employment types” should include “full- and part-time” staff?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

It is not difficult to provide such data.

### Rate of Fatalities

15. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the KPI on fatalities to require disclosure of the number and rate of work-related fatalities occurred in each of the past three years including the reporting year?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

It is not difficult to provide such data.

Supply Chain Management

16. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce the following new KPIs in respect of supply chain management?

(a) Description of practices used to identify environmental and social risks along the supply chain, and how they are implemented and monitored.

(b) Description of practices used to promote environmentally preferable products and services when selecting suppliers, and how they are implemented and monitored.

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

As a company in the financial industry, we do not have any major supplier. It is difficult for us to monitor suppliers/vendors on office renovation, office equipment and stationery, etc.

Anti-corruption

17. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new KPI requiring disclosure of anti-corruption training provided to directors and staff?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Training and workshops can be provided but not on a very regular basis.

## Encouraging Independent Assurance

18. Do you agree with the proposal to revise the Guide's wording on independence assurance to state that the issuer may seek independent assurance to strengthen the credibility of ESG information disclosed; and where independent assurance is obtained, the issuer should describe the level, scope and processes adopted for assurance clearly in the ESG report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Given the time required for disclosing the ESG is shortened and there will be additional requirements on disclosure, timing is already tight for many companies. Therefore, there will not be adequate time for issuers to obtain independent assurance.

- End -