

Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

<http://www.hkex.com.hk/-/media/HKEX-Market/News/Market-Consultations/2016-Present/May-2019-Review-of-ESG-Guide/Consultation-Paper/cp201905.pdf>.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

Timeframe for Publication of ESG Reports

1. Do you agree with our proposal to amend Main Board Listing Rule 13.91 and GEM Listing Rule 17.103 to shorten the time required to publish an environmental, social and governance (“**ESG**”) report from three months after the publication of the annual report to within four months for Main Board issuers or three months for GEM issuers from the financial year-end date?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Publication more closely aligned WITH the annual report should encourage the consideration of ESG as a holistic business consideration, rather than an aside. We recommend that financially material ESG information should appear in the annual report.
--

Printed Form of ESG Reports

2. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Listing Rules and the Guide to clarify that issuers are not required to provide printed form of the ESG report to shareholders unless responding to specific requests, but are required to notify shareholders that the ESG report has been published on the Exchange’s and the issuer’s websites?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Financial material ESG information should be included within the main annual report. Additional sustainability/ESG reports can be provided, but paper copies are not required.

Introducing Mandatory Disclosure Requirements

General

3. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce Mandatory Disclosure Requirements (“MDR”)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We recommend that where financially material ESG disclosures should be mandatory.

Governance Structure

4. If your response to Question 3 is positive, do you agree with our proposal to introduce an MDR requiring a statement from the board containing the following elements:

(a) a disclosure of the board’s oversight of ESG issues?

(b) the process used to identify, evaluate and manage material ESG-related issues (including risks to the issuer’s businesses); and

(c) how the board reviews progress made against ESG-related goals and targets?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree - this ensures ESG is on the board agenda and sends a clear message that this is an important consideration in business strategy and operations.

5. Do you agree with our proposal to set out in a note that the board statement should include information on the issuer’s current ESG management approach, strategy, priorities and goals/targets and an explanation of how they relate to the issuer’s businesses?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree that board should have oversight and accountability for how ESG is relevant and integrated into company strategy, and how ESG issues are managed, including the setting of goals and targets.

Reporting Principles

6. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to introduce an MDR requiring disclosure of an explanation on how the issuer has applied the Reporting Principles in the preparation of the ESG report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We believe this is important to provide investors with reassurance and transparency on how ESG reporting has been adequately governed and overseen.

7. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on “materiality” to make it clear that materiality of ESG issues is to be determined by the board and that the issuer must disclose a description of significant stakeholders identified, the process and results of the issuer’s stakeholder engagement (if any), and the criteria for the selection of material ESG factors?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

The board should not determine but should have oversight and be accountable.

In this context of disclosure the board cannot pick and choose what they determine as material - there are certain minimum standards that should just be disclosed. This is particularly the case in certain industries.

8. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Reporting Principle on “quantitative” to:

- (a) require disclosure of information on the standards, methodologies, assumptions and/or calculation tools used, and source of the conversion factors used for the reporting of emissions/energy consumption (where applicable); and
- (b) clarify that while key performance indicators (“KPIs”) for historical data must be measurable, targets may be expressed by way of directional statements or quantitative descriptions?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with proposal 8a)

For 8b) we propose that progress towards targets set in a quantitative or qualitative manner should also be monitored and reported. Targets should therefore be dated.

Reporting Boundary

9. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Guide to include an MDR requiring an explanation of the ESG report’s reporting boundary, disclosing the process used to identify the specific entities or operations that are included in the ESG report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We strongly agree that the scope for reporting should be clearly set out. For material and non-material ESG factors investors need to know the extent of business operations that is incorporated into reporting and targets, as well as how far down the value chain targets and reporting apply. This helps provide a full picture of potential risks and impacts to and of the business.

Introducing Aspect on Climate Change and Revising the Environmental KPIs

Climate Change

10. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Aspect A4 requiring:
- (a) disclosure of policies on measures to identify and mitigate the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer; and
 - (b) a KPI requiring a description of the significant climate-related issues which have impacted, and those which may impact the issuer, and the actions taken to manage them?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We strongly agree with this proposal. We recommend as a common framework that put forward by the Taskforce on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures - the TCFD reporting framework. We encourage HKEX to promote the use of this framework.

Targets

11. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the Environmental KPIs to require disclosure of a description of targets set regarding emissions, energy use and water efficiency, waste reduction, etc. and steps taken to achieve them?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

GHG Emissions

12. Do you agree with our proposal to revise an Environmental KPI to require disclosure of Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas (“**GHG**”) emissions?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We strongly recommend requiring the disclosure of Scope 1 & 2 GHG emissions. We also encourage HKEX to promote the setting of targets to reduce scope 1 & 2 emissions, as well as the reporting of Scope 3 emissions where relevant.

Upgrading the Disclosure Obligation of the Social KPIs

13. Do you agree with our proposal to upgrade the disclosure obligation of all Social KPIs to “comply or explain”?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Revising the Social KPIs

Employment Types

14. Do you agree with our proposal to revise a KPI to clarify “employment types” should include “full- and part-time” staff?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Rate of Fatalities

15. Do you agree with our proposal to amend the KPI on fatalities to require disclosure of the number and rate of work-related fatalities occurred in each of the past three years including the reporting year?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We strongly recommend that the reporting of health and safety metrics, particularly fatalities be made mandatory.

Supply Chain Management

16. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce the following new KPIs in respect of supply chain management?

(a) Description of practices used to identify environmental and social risks along the supply chain, and how they are implemented and monitored.

(b) Description of practices used to promote environmentally preferable products and services when selecting suppliers, and how they are implemented and monitored.

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Anti-corruption

17. Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new KPI requiring disclosure of anti-corruption training provided to directors and staff?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

We strongly agree with this proposal. Corruption and bribery are key governance issues that concern investors. These concerns can strongly impact our investment decision.

Encouraging Independent Assurance

18. Do you agree with the proposal to revise the Guide's wording on independence assurance to state that the issuer may seek independent assurance to strengthen the credibility of ESG information disclosed; and where independent assurance is obtained, the issuer should describe the level, scope and processes adopted for assurance clearly in the ESG report?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

In order for investors to accurately incorporate ESG considerations into investment analysis and appropriately price risk, we need to be able to rely on the quality of data and information. Independent assurance is an important way to provide confidence in this area.

- End -