Consultation Questions Part B

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

https://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017111.pdf.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

PART	I: INDEPENDENT NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS
Overl	boarding and INED's time commitment
1.	Do you agree with our proposed amendment to Code Provision ("CP") A.5.5 (on a "comply or explain" basis) so that in addition to the CP's current requirements, the board should also explain, if the proposed independent non-executive director ("INED") will be holding his seventh (or more) listed company directorship, why he would still be able to devote sufficient time to the board?
	Yes
	⊠ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	As NED/INED was not an executive role which is not expected to put too much time on company affair. However, if the director take executive roles of too much listed companies, it should be a concern.
Boar	d diversity
2.	Do you agree with our proposals to upgrade CP A.5.6 (on a "comply or explain" basis) to a Rule (Rule 13.92) requiring issuers to have a diversity policy and to disclose the policy or a summary of it in their corporate governance reports?
	Yes
	⊠ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	I think the current arrangement should be sufficient.

3.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.5.5 that it requires (on a "comply or explain" basis) the board to state in the circular to shareholders accompanying the resolution to elect the director:
	 (i) the process used for identifying the nominee; (ii) the perspectives, skills and experience that the person is expected to bring to the board; and (iii) how the nominee would contribute to the diversity of the board.
	Yes
	No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	This seems too many uncessary detail to shareholders and lenghty the circular which become environmental unfriendly as the ciruclar need to be printed out. The nomination process is normally to look for director candidate for every possible sources and then go through nomination committee and the board. I don't think there will be any added value information can be provided to shareholders. If shareholders have any question about director candidate, they can voice out in the general meeting or if they have any disagreement, they can vote down the re-election of director.
4.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Mandatory Disclosure Requirement L.(d)(ii) to reflect the upgrade of CP A.5.6 (on a "comply or explain" basis) to a Rule (Rule 13.92) requiring issuers to have a diversity policy and to disclose the policy or a summary of it in their Corporate Governance Reports?
	■ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	L

Factors affecting INED's independence

A. Cooling off periods for former professional advisers

5.	year	ou agree with our proposal to revise Rule 3.13 (3) so that there is a three-cooling off period for professional advisers before they can be dered independent, instead of the current one year?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
	I thin	x 2 years should be more appropriate
6.	basis the is	ou agree with our proposal to revise CP C.3.2 (on a "comply or explain") so that there is a three-year cooling off period for a former partner of suer's existing audit firm before he can be a member of the issuer's audit nittee?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.
	I thin	κ 2 years should be more appropriate

B.	Cooling off period in respect of material interests in business activities		
7.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 3.13(4) to introduce a one-year cooling off period for a proposed INED who has had material interests in the issuer's principal business activities in the past year?		
	⊠ Yes		
	No No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
C.	Cross-directorships or Significant Links with other Directors		
8.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Recommended Best Practice A.3.3 (i.e. voluntary) to recommend disclosure of INEDs' cross-directorships in the Corporate Governance Report?		
	Yes		
	No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
	Duplicate with that shown in biography.		

D.	Famil	y ties		
9.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a Note under Rule 3.13 to encourage inclusion of an INED's immediate family members in the assessment of the director's independence?			
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.		
10.	Do you agree with our proposal to adopt the same definition for "immediate family member" as Rule 14A.12(1)(a) which defines an 'immediate family member" as "his spouse, his (or his spouse's) child or step-child, natural or adopted, under the age of 18 years"?			
	\boxtimes	Yes		
		No		
	Please	e give reasons for your views.		
PART	II:	NOMINATION POLICY		
11.	L.(d)(i	ou agree with our proposal to amend Mandatory Disclosure Requirement ii) of Appendix 14 to require an issuer to disclose its nomination policy red during the year?		
		Yes		
	\boxtimes	No		
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.		
	I don't	t think there will be any special information that is meaningful to the public.		

PART III: DIRECTORS' ATTENDANCE AT MEETINGS

Directors' attendance at general meetings

12.	basis also	ou agree with our proposal to amend CP A.6.7 (on a "comply or explain" by removing the last sentence of the current wording (i.e. they should attend general meetings and develop a balanced understanding of the s of shareholders.)?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Pleas	se give reasons for your views.
	This meeti	sentence can give director a clear guideline that they should attend the general ings.
Chair	man's	annual meetings with INEDs
13.		ou agree with our proposal to revise CP A.2.7 (on a "comply or explain" s) to state that INEDs should meet at least annually with the chairman?
		Yes
	\boxtimes	No
	Pleas	se give reasons for your views.

14.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce CP E.1.5 requiring (on a "comply or explain" basis) the issuer to disclose its dividend policy in the annual report?			
		Yes		
	\boxtimes	No		
	Please	e give reasons for your views.		
	suffici	the dividend policy to be disclosed in the web page of the company should be ent but not in annual report as which will not be amend frequently and can be environmental friendly in order to avoid lengthy the annual report.		
PART	V:	ELECTRONIC DISSEMINATION OF CORPORATE COMMUNICATIONS – IMPLIED CONSENT		
15.	conse	tu think that the Rules should be amended to allow shareholders' nt to be implied for electronic dissemination of corporate unications by issuers?		
		Yes		
	\boxtimes	No		
	Please	e give reasons for your views.		
	Currer operat	t arrangement can provide the shareholder who are not familiar with computer ion.		

PART IV: DIVIDEND POLICY