Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

https://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017111.pdf.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

PART I: INDEPENDENT NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS

Overboarding and INED's time commitment

Over	boarding and INED's time commitment
1.	Do you agree with our proposed amendment to Code Provision ("CP") A.5.5 (on a "comply or explain" basis) so that in addition to the CP's current requirements, the board should also explain, if the proposed independent non-executive director ("INED") will be holding his seventh (or more) listed company directorship, why he would still be able to devote sufficient time to the board?
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	This proposal is in line with the responsibility of the Board to ensure adequate performance and commitment of its members, which in turn will ensure overall Board performance and efficiency. It also aligns with the responsibility of the Board to substantiate its recommendation to the shareholders for such an appointment.
Boar	d diversity
2.	Do you agree with our proposals to upgrade CP A.5.6 (on a "comply or explain" basis) to a Rule (Rule 13.92) requiring issuers to have a diversity policy and to disclose the policy or a summary of it in their corporate governance reports?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.

We support the upgrading of the CP to become a Rule as this would strengthen the transparency and governance of nomination process and criteria for appointment of directors by listed issuers. However, Stock Exchange's guidance should provide more specificities on criteria and features that should be exhibited in a board diversity policy in order to achieve a meaningful policy.

3. Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.5.5 that it requ "comply or explain" basis) the board to state in the circular to sha accompanying the resolution to elect the director:		
	 (i) the process used for identifying the nominee; (ii) the perspectives, skills and experience that the person is expected to bring to the board; and (iii) how the nominee would contribute to the diversity of the board. 	
	□ No	
	Please give reasons for your views.	
	We believe this would facilitate shareholders in considering and approving the election/re-election of a director. However, we would suggest Stock Exchange to: (a) clarify whether the proposal applies to both first appointment (i.e. election) and subsequent re-appointment (i.e. re-election); and (b) provide more guidance to issuers on the extent of disclosure pertainting to this proposed mandatory disclosure requirement for compliance purpose.	
4.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Mandatory Disclosure Requirement L.(d)(ii) to reflect the upgrade of CP A.5.6 (on a "comply or explain" basis) to a Rule (Rule 13.92) requiring issuers to have a diversity policy and to disclose the policy or a summary of it in their Corporate Governance Reports?	
	□ No	
	Please give reasons for your views.	
	This is consistent with the proposal in Q2 above.	

Factors affecting INED's independence

A. Cooling off periods for former professional advisers

5.	year cooling off period for professional advisers before they can be considered independent, instead of the current one year?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	We support the proposal for extending the cooling off period to three years to strengthen the segregation/independence of relationship between an issuer and its proposed director. It is also the duration adopted in Australia, UK and the U.S.
6.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise CP C.3.2 (on a "comply or explain" basis) so that there is a three-year cooling off period for a former partner of the issuer's existing audit firm before he can be a member of the issuer's audit committee?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	The proposed change is consistency with the approach adopted under Q5.

7.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 3.13(4) to introduce a one-year cooling off period for a proposed INED who has had material interests in the issuer's principal business activities in the past year?			
	■ No			
	Please give reasons for your views.			
	Actually we would suggest an even longer cooling off period of two years or more, given we are talking about former interested party with "material" interests.			
C.	Cross-directorships or Significant Links with other Directors			
8.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Recommended Best Practice A.3.3 (i.e. voluntary) to recommend disclosure of INEDs' cross-directorships in the Corporate Governance Report?			
	□ No			
	Please give reasons for your views.			
	It enhances transparency.			

Cooling off period in respect of material interests in business activities

В.

D.	Family ties
9.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a Note under Rule 3.13 to encourage inclusion of an INED's immediate family members in the assessment of the director's independence?
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	We agree that the inclusion would help increasing transparency from both the issuer's and the director's perspective. However, please clarify whether this new Note under Rule 3.13 will become one of the factors in assessing independence.
10.	Do you agree with our proposal to adopt the same definition for "immediate family member" as Rule 14A.12(1)(a) which defines an 'immediate family member" as "his spouse, his (or his spouse's) child or step-child, natural or adopted, under the age of 18 years"?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	It is an appropriate definition for consistency.
PART	II: NOMINATION POLICY
11.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Mandatory Disclosure Requirement L.(d)(ii) of Appendix 14 to require an issuer to disclose its nomination policy adopted during the year?
	□ No

We support this would enhance transparency. Similar to our comments under Q2, Stock Exchange's guidance should provide more specificity on features that should be exhibited in such a policy to achieve certain level of consistency among issuers.

Please give reasons for your views.

PART III: DIRECTORS' ATTENDANCE AT MEETINGS

Directors' attendance at general meetings

12.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.6.7 (on a "comply or expla basis) by removing the last sentence of the current wording (i.e. they show also attend general meetings and develop a balanced understanding of twiews of shareholders.)?				
	□ No				
	Please give reasons for your views.				
	The proposed deletion does not impact the spirit /scope of the CP, as the proposed deletion would have form part of the directors' duties inherently.				
Chair	man's annual meetings with INEDs				
13.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise CP A.2.7 (on a "comply or explain" basis) to state that INEDs should meet at least annually with the chairman?				
	□ No				
	Please give reasons for your views.				
	It can provide a forum for INEDs to express their views free from influence of NEDs.				

P	ART	IV:	DIVIDEND	POL	ICY
---	-----	-----	----------	-----	------------

14.	 Do you agree with our proposal to introduce CP E.1.5 requiring (on a "compore explain" basis) the issuer to disclose its dividend policy in the annual rep 		
	\boxtimes	Yes	
		No	
	give reasons for your views.		
	what in	oport the proposal in principle subject to more information on the details, e.g., iformation is expected to be disclosed in the issuers' annual report and what lemants/features should be contained in the dividend policy.	
PART		ELECTRONIC DISSEMINATION OF CORPORATE COMMUNICATIONS – IMPLIED CONSENT	
15.	conser	u think that the Rules should be amended to allow shareholders' at to be implied for electronic dissemination of corporate unications by issuers?	
		Yes	
		No	
	Please	give reasons for your views.	
	financi	ange will help to promote environmental friendliness and relieve an issuer's al and administrative burden. Afterall, shareholders can request for hard if they change their mind subsequently.	
		- End -	