### Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

https://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017111.pdf.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

### PART I: INDEPENDENT NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS

### Overboarding and INED's time commitment

1.	Do you agree with our proposed amendment to Code Provision ("CP") A.5.5 (on a "comply or explain" basis) so that in addition to the CP's current requirements, the board should also explain, if the proposed independent non-executive director ("INED") will be holding his seventh (or more) listed company directorship, why he would still be able to devote sufficient time to the board?		
	Yes		
	□ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
Board	d diversity		
2.	Do you agree with our proposals to upgrade CP A.5.6 (on a "comply or explain" basis) to a Rule (Rule 13.92) requiring issuers to have a diversity policy and to disclose the policy or a summary of it in their corporate governance reports?		
	Yes		
	□ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
	Listed issuers should have the flexibility to create a Board diversity policy which is right for that Board and that the guidance as to what information should be included in the diversity policy should not be too prescriptive.		

3.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.5.5 that it requires (on a "comply or explain" basis) the board to state in the circular to shareholders accompanying the resolution to elect the director:
	<ul><li>(i) the process used for identifying the nominee;</li><li>(ii) the perspectives, skills and experience that the person is expected to bring to the board; and</li><li>(iii) how the nominee would contribute to the diversity of the board.</li></ul>
	☐ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	For some listed issuers, information under bullet points (ii) and (iii) are all likely to be included in the director appointment announcement and Annual Report and (i) would be included in the Annual Report. It seems to me slightly duplicative to also add the same information into the AGM notice circulars, unless the proposed director appointment is to take place between the publication of the Annual Report and the AGM.
4.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Mandatory Disclosure Requirement L.(d)(ii) to reflect the upgrade of CP A.5.6 (on a "comply or explain" basis) to a Rule (Rule 13.92) requiring issuers to have a diversity policy and to disclose the policy or a summary of it in their Corporate Governance Reports?
	Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	See response in Q.2.

# Factors affecting INED's independence

A.	Cooling off periods for former professional advisers
5.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 3.13 (3) so that there is a three- year cooling off period for professional advisers before they can be considered independent, instead of the current one year?
	☐ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Would welcome explanatory guidance from the HK Stock Exchange around what constitutes a professional advisers and provides particular positions as an example (e.g. partner or principal). A person who worked for a professional advisory firm may not necessarily subject to the same cooling period as compared to a person who was the engagement partner of the professional firm that provides services to the listed issuer.
6.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise CP C.3.2 (on a "comply or explain" basis) so that there is a three-year cooling off period for a former partner of the issuer's existing audit firm before he can be a member of the issuer's audit committee?
	☐ Yes
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.

В.	Cooling off period in respect of material interests in business activities		
7.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 3.13(4) to introduce a one-year cooling off period for a proposed INED who has had material interests in the issuer's principal business activities in the past year?		
	☐ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		
	Would request the Exchange to provide further guidance on the materiality test for the interests in the issuer's principal business activities.		
c.	Cross-directorships or Significant Links with other Directors		
8.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Recommended Best Practice A.3.3 (i.e. voluntary) to recommend disclosure of INEDs' cross-directorships in the Corporate Governance Report?		
	☐ Yes		
	□ No		
	Please give reasons for your views.		

D.	Famil	y ties		
9.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a Note under Rule 3.13 to encourage inclusion of an INED's immediate family members in the assessment of the director's independence?			
		Yes		
		No		
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.		
10.	Do you agree with our proposal to adopt the same definition for "immediate family member" as Rule 14A.12(1)(a) which defines an 'immediate family member" as "his spouse, his (or his spouse's) child or step-child, natural or adopted, under the age of 18 years"?			
		Yes		
		No		
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.		
		stency of terms and definitions could facilitate and make it easier for listed s to interpret the Listing Rules.		
PART	H:	NOMINATION POLICY		
11.	L.(d)(i	u agree with our proposal to amend Mandatory Disclosure Requirement i) of Appendix 14 to require an issuer to disclose its nomination policy ed during the year?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Please	e give reasons for your views.		
	nomin nomin	I request the Exchange to provide further guidance on the concept of a ation policy in view of the fact that there are already disclosures of issuers' ation process and criteria adopted to select and recommend candidates for orship under current rules.		

### PART III: DIRECTORS' ATTENDANCE AT MEETINGS

## Directors' attendance at general meetings

12.	basis) also a	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.6.7 (on a "comply or explain" basis) by removing the last sentence of the current wording (i.e. they should also attend general meetings and develop a balanced understanding of the views of shareholders.)?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
	Please	e give reasons for your views.		
	not ne require transp	ors could understand the views of shareholders in different ways and forms, cessarily by attending the general meetings. In addition, given there are other ements (Paragraph I(c)) already serves the regulatory objective of offering arency on INEDs' and NEDs' attendance at general meetings, it would make to remove such sentence.		
Chair	man's	annual meetings with INEDs		
13.		u agree with our proposal to revise CP A.2.7 (on a "comply or explain" to state that INEDs should meet at least annually with the chairman?		
		Yes		
		No		
	Pleas	e give reasons for your views.		

PART	IV:	DIVIDEND POLICY
14.		agree with our proposal to introduce CP E.1.5 requiring (on a "comply lain" basis) the issuer to disclose its dividend policy in the annual report?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
	flexibi	I of setting up a prescriptive dividend policy, listed issuers should have the lity to disclose the relevant factors in determining if dividends are distributed would still be regarded as compliance with the Code Provision.
PART	V:	ELECTRONIC DISSEMINATION OF CORPORATE COMMUNICATIONS – IMPLIED CONSENT
15.	conse	ou think that the Rules should be amended to allow shareholders' nt to be implied for electronic dissemination of corporate unications by issuers?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
	L	- End -