### Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below on the proposed change discussed in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

https://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017111.pdf.

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

#### PART I: INDEPENDENT NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS

#### Overboarding and INED's time commitment

1.	(on a	ou agree with our proposed amendment to Code Provision (" <b>CP</b> ") A.5.5 a "comply or explain" basis) so that in addition to the CP's current rements, the board should also explain, if the proposed independent
	comp	executive director (" <b>INED</b> ") will be holding his seventh (or more) listed bany directorship, why he would still be able to devote sufficient time to oard?
		Yes
		No
	Pleas	se give reasons for your views.

Non-executive directors have a valuable contribution to make to the development of the company. This is a demanding role. We pay attention to the number of directorships an individual director holds, and seek to be satisfied that directors have sufficient time and energy to discharge the role properly, particularly during occasions requiring exceptional commitments of time. We would welcome the proposed amendment be set so that issuers provide an explanation if the INED will be holding his fifth (or more) listed company directorship (not including directorships within a single corporate group).

In addition, nominating committees should carefully consider the time required for other demanding leadership roles, such as the boards of private companies and large non-profit organisations.

### **Board diversity**

2.	Do you agree with our proposals to upgrade CP A.5.6 (on a "comply or
	explain" basis) to a Rule (Rule 13.92) requiring issuers to have a diversity
	policy and to disclose the policy or a summary of it in their corporate
	governance reports?

$\boxtimes$	Yes
	Yes

	No
Pleas	se give reasons for your views.

We believe a requirement for issuers to have and disclose a diversity policy can help ensure they incorporate diversity considerations when appointing directors.

3.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend CP A.5.5 that it requires (on a "comply or explain" basis) the board to state in the circular to shareholders accompanying the resolution to elect the director:
	<ul> <li>(i) the process used for identifying the nominee;</li> <li>(ii) the perspectives, skills and experience that the person is expected to bring to the board; and</li> <li>(iii) how the nominee would contribute to the diversity of the board.</li> </ul>
	■ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	The right to elect directors is a fundamental shareholder right. Relevant information about the board's reasons and recommendations to nominate an individual to serve as a board member will help shareholders make more informed voting decisions.
4.	Do you agree with our proposal to amend Mandatory Disclosure Requirement L.(d)(ii) to reflect the upgrade of CP A.5.6 (on a "comply or explain" basis) to a Rule (Rule 13.92) requiring issuers to have a diversity policy and to disclose the policy or a summary of it in their Corporate Governance Reports?
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.

## Factors affecting INED's independence

## A. Cooling off periods for former professional advisers

5.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 3.13 (3) so that there is a three- year cooling off period for professional advisers before they can be considered independent, instead of the current one year?
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	A longer cooling off period can help ensure that professional advisers are, and are perceived as being, independent from the company.
6.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise CP C.3.2 (on a "comply or explain" basis) so that there is a three-year cooling off period for a former partner of the issuer's existing audit firm before he can be a member of the issuer's audit committee?
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	Same as above

B.	Cooling off period in respect of material interests in business activities
7.	Do you agree with our proposal to revise Rule 3.13(4) to introduce a one-year cooling off period for a proposed INED who has had material interests in the issuer's principal business activities in the past year?
	■ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
C.	Cross-directorships or Significant Links with other Directors
8.	Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a new Recommended Best Practice A.3.3 (i.e. voluntary) to recommend disclosure of INEDs' cross-directorships in the Corporate Governance Report?
	□ No
	Please give reasons for your views.
	We expect companies to disclose interlocking board relationships and to explain how the independence of individual directors is preserved when directors jointly serve on two or more of the same boards.

D.	Fam	ily	ties

9.	encou	ou agree with our proposal to introduce a Note under Rule 3.13 to rage inclusion of an INED's immediate family members in the sment of the director's independence?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
_		the independence of a director may be affected by the independence of said nediate family members' connection with the issuer
10.	family memb	u agree with our proposal to adopt the same definition for "immediate member" as Rule 14A.12(1)(a) which defines an 'immediate family per" as "his spouse, his (or his spouse's) child or step-child, natural or ed, under the age of 18 years"?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
	_	ree with this proposal. However, we encourage the definition for "immediate member" be expanded to include parents and siblings.
PART	II:	NOMINATION POLICY
11.	L.(d)(i	u agree with our proposal to amend Mandatory Disclosure Requirement i) of Appendix 14 to require an issuer to disclose its nomination policy ed during the year?
		Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
	policie	o not see an urgent need for a rule requiring issuers to disclose their nomination es. We believe the current mandatory disclosure requirement of Appendix 14 ently meets investors' information needs regarding nomination procedures and uses

### PART III: DIRECTORS' ATTENDANCE AT MEETINGS

# Directors' attendance at general meetings

12.	basis) also a	u agree with our proposal to amend CP A.6.7 (on a "comply or explain" by removing the last sentence of the current wording (i.e. they should attend general meetings and develop a balanced understanding of the of shareholders.)?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
board and to should	and fulf facilitat disclos	dance at board meetings is crucial for making valuable contributions to the illing fiduciary duties. We also expect directors to attend the annual meeting, the communication with the shareholders whom they represent. The company the attendance record of individual directors in the annual report, as well as for shareholders to communicate directly with the board.
Chair	man's	annual meetings with INEDs
13.	•	u agree with our proposal to revise CP A.2.7 (on a "comply or explain" to state that INEDs should meet at least annually with the chairman?
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
		can be shareholder or employee representatives that would also benefit from ag the chairman without the executive directors present.

PART	IV:	DIVIDEND POLICY
14.	•	u agree with our proposal to introduce CP E.1.5 requiring (on a "comply lain" basis) the issuer to disclose its dividend policy in the annual report?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	Please	e give reasons for your views.
	Disclo	sure of an issuer's dividend policy is important to strengthen shareholders'

understanding of its cash and capital management process, i.e. how the cash

PART V:

**COMMUNICATIONS – IMPLIED CONSENT** 

**ELECTRONIC DISSEMINATION OF CORPORATE** 

generated is allocated amongst competing priorities.

15.	conse	ou think that the Rules should be amended to allow shareholders ent to be implied for electronic dissemination of corporate nunications by issuers?
		Yes
		No
	Pleas	se give reasons for your views.