



THE

LAW SOCIETY OF HONG KONG

香港律師會

3/F WING ON HOUSE · 71 DES VOEUX ROAD
CENTRAL · HONG KONG DX-009100 Central 1
香港中環德輔道中71號
永安集團大廈3字樓

TELEPHONE (電話) : (852) 2846 0500
FACSIMILE (傳真) : (852) 2845 0387
E-MAIL (電子郵件) : [REDACTED]
WEBSITE (網頁) : www.hklawsoc.org.hk

Our Ref :
Your Ref :
Direct Line :

COM/7518518

President
會長

C. M. CHAN
陳澤銘

Vice-Presidents
副會長

Amirali B. NASIR
黎雅明

Roden M.L. TONG
湯文龍

Christopher K.K. YU
余國堅

Council Members
理事

Melissa K. PANG
彭韻僊

Careen H.Y. WONG
黃巧欣

Calvin K. CHENG
鄭偉邦

Jimmy K.H. CHAN
陳國豪

Tom K.M. FU
傅嘉綿

Ronald K.N. SUM
岑君毅

Justin H.Y. YUEN
袁凱英

Simon J. McCONNELL
馬康利

Pak Sun HAU
侯百燊

Hin Han SHUM
岑顯恆

Vincent S.K. TSO
曹紹基

Joyce C. CHENG
鄭程

Neville C.H. CHENG
鄭宗漢

Constance H.M. CHOY
蔡學雯

Heidi H.Y. CHUI
徐凱怡

Chris T. ZHAO
趙彤

Secretary General
秘書長

Heidi K.P. CHU
朱潔冰

Deputy Secretary General
副秘書長

Kenneth W.K. FOK
霍永權

Wendy Y.W. LEE
李昱穎

BY EMAIL ONLY

5 December 2023


Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited,
8/F, Two Exchange Square,
8 Connaught Place,
Central,
Hong Kong

Dear Sirs,

**Consultation Paper on Proposed Amendments to Listing Rules Relating to
Treasury Shares**

We refer to the captioned consultation, and enclose the Law Society's submissions
on the subject matter for your attention.

Yours faithfully,



Assistant Director, Practitioners Affairs

Encl.





Consultation Paper on Proposed Amendments to Listing Rules Relating to Treasury Shares

The Law Society's Submissions

The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Limited (the "Exchange") issued the "Consultation Paper on Proposed Amendments to Listing Rules Relating to Treasury Shares" on 27 October 2023 ("Consultation Paper").

In response, the Law Society provides the following submissions. The same abbreviations and definitions appearing in the Consultation Paper are used in this paper.

GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

The Law Society welcomes the proposal amendments which will align Hong Kong with certain relevant markets or jurisdictions.

Part III of the Consultation Paper refers to consequential implications of the proposals on other regulatory requirements. It is important to clarify or confirm such consequential implications as soon as possible before the Listing Rules are amended. For example, it is important to confirm or clarify the treatment of treasury shares in a Hong Kong takeover situation, such as whether an offer will need to be made for those shares, whether the offer price will be the same, and whether such shares will count towards the 50% threshold for an offer to become unconditional. Similar confirmations or clarifications will be required in respect of the disclosure of interest requirements under the SFO, such as whether treasury shares will be treated as a different class of shares.

RESPONSES TO CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Q1. *Do you agree with the proposal to amend the Listing Rules to remove the requirement to cancel repurchased shares? Please provide reasons for your views.*

Law Society's response:

Agree. We welcome the flexibility which will align Hong Kong with certain relevant markets or jurisdictions.

Q2. *Do you agree with the proposal to require a resale of treasury shares to be subject to the same requirements as an issue of new shares as described in Proposal (1)(a) to (c) above? Please provide reasons for your views.*

Law Society's response:

Agree.

Q3. *Do you agree with the proposal to require a resale of treasury shares (whether on-market or off-market) to be subject to a moratorium period after a share repurchase? Please provide reasons for your views.*

Law Society's response:

Agree.

Q4. *Do you agree with the proposal to require an on-Exchange share repurchase to be subject to a moratorium period after an on-Exchange resale of treasury shares? Please provide reasons for your views.*

Law Society's response:

Agree.

Q5. *Do you consider that the moratorium periods (in either direction) should be shorter than 30 days? If so, please share with us your views on the appropriate duration of the moratorium periods and the reason*

for your suggestion including your views on how the considerations in paragraph 68 should be addressed.

Law Society's response:

Rule 10.06(3) has been long established in the market. A moratorium period of 30 days is a logical extension of Rule 10.06(3) by treating a resale as akin to a new issue. A resale following a repurchase should be subject to a 30-day moratorium period.

However, a further repurchase after a resale entails different considerations and should not be subject to the same moratorium. Issuers should be given flexibility to repurchase shares in order to react to market situations (such as a further unexpected sharp decline in share price), given that the SFO already governs insider dealing and other unlawful market activities.

Q6. Do you agree with the proposal that dealing restrictions described in paragraph 69 under Proposal (2)(b) above shall be imposed on a resale of treasury shares on the Exchange? Please provide reasons for your views.

Law Society's response:

Agree. The relevant existing rules are well established, and the proposal is a logical extension.

Q7. Do you agree with the proposals for an on-market resale of treasury shares as described in paragraph 70 under Proposal (2)(b) above? Please provide reasons for your views.

Law Society's response:

Agree.

Q8. Do you agree with the proposal relating to new listing applicants as described in Proposal (3) above? Please provide reasons for your views.

Law Society's response:

Agree. The 6-month ban on issuing new shares immediately following an IPO is well established, and the proposal is a logical extension.

Q9. Do you agree with the proposal to require issuers (being holders of treasury shares) to abstain from voting on matters that require shareholders' approval under the Listing Rules as described in Proposal (4)(a) above? Please provide reasons for your views.

Law Society's response:

Agree. This helps to prevent unintended consolidation or inflation of voting rights of certain issuers where the controlling or substantial shareholders have majority seats in the board of directors.

Q10. Do you agree with the proposal to disregard treasury shares for calculating an issuer's issued shares and voting shares under the Rules as described in Proposal (4)(b) above? Please provide reasons for your views.

Law Society's response:

Agree.

Q11. Do you have any comments regarding the different treatment of treasury shares when calculating an issuer's issued voting shares under the proposed Rules and Part XV of the SFO as described in paragraph 77 above?

Law Society's response:

Agree, but it is worthwhile considering whether potential confusion arising from the different treatment could be avoided or reduced by designating treasury shares as a separate class for disclosure of interests purposes, so that such interests will not be aggregated with voting shares.

Q12. Do you agree with the proposal to require an issuer to disclose in the explanatory statement its intention as to whether the repurchased shares will be cancelled or kept as treasury shares as described in Proposal (4)(c) above? Please provide reasons for your views.

Law Society's response:

Agree.

Q13. Do you agree with the proposal to clarify that a resale of treasury shares by an issuer or its subsidiary includes resale of treasury shares through their agents or nominees as described in Proposal (4)(d) above? Please provide reasons for your views.

Law Society's response:

Agree.

**The Law Society of Hong Kong
5 December 2023**