Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017092.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

 ✓ Yes □ No If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. □ Do you agree with the proposed 25% threshold on value dilution? If not, whathe appropriate percentage threshold and the reasons for this threshold? □ Yes ☑ No (Please specify the appropriate percentage threshold 10% If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. 10% threshold can provide a buffer to protect small investors. 	Do you agree with the proposal to disallow highly dilutive pre-emptive offers unles there are exceptional circumstances?		
If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. Do you agree with the proposed 25% threshold on value dilution? If not, whethe appropriate percentage threshold and the reasons for this threshold? ☐ Yes ☑ No (Please specify the appropriate percentage threshold 10% If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes	
Do you agree with the proposed 25% threshold on value dilution? If not, where the appropriate percentage threshold and the reasons for this threshold? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ (Please specify the appropriate percentage threshold 10%) If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.		No	
the appropriate percentage threshold and the reasons for this threshold? ☐ Yes ☑ No — (Please specify the appropriate percentage threshold 10% If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	If yo	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	
the appropriate percentage threshold and the reasons for this threshold? Yes No (Please specify the appropriate percentage threshold 10% If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			
the appropriate percentage threshold and the reasons for this threshold? Yes No (Please specify the appropriate percentage threshold 10% If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			
 ✓ No (Please specify the appropriate percentage threshold 10% If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views. 		, ,	
(Please specify the appropriate percentage threshold 10% If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.		Yes	
	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$		
10% threshold can provide a buffer to protect small investors.			
	If yo	(Please specify the appropriate percentage threshold 10%	

	Oo you agree that the proposed requirements should also apply to share issuance inder a specific mandate?
v	1 Yes
	□ No
If	your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	Oo you agree with the proposal to aggregate rights issues, open offers and pecific mandate placings within a rolling 12-month period?
v	Z Yes
	□ No
lf	your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	Oo you agree with the proposed method of calculating cumulative value dilution? If ot, what is the appropriate method?
v	I Yes
	□ No (Please specify the appropriate method)
lf	your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
ŀ	No particular comment on the method. However, it is suggested that the ratio of rights issue should be simple. For example, the so called "prime number ratio" such as 23-for-91 rights issue should be discouraged. In this case, 1-for-4 will be good enough.

6.	requirement to all open offers (unless the new securities are issued under the general mandate)?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	Open offer should be discouraged because it would be unfair to small investors as they cannot sell the subscription rights. Meanwhile, it should be allowed to vote electronically via e-mail especially for those who hold shares through brokerage firms and not registered under their own names.
7.	Do you agree with the proposal to remove the underwriting requirement for pre emptive offers?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
8.	Do you agree with our proposal to require underwriters to be licensed person independent from the issuers and their connected persons?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	It should be strict to check the underwriters are really independent. The scammers are well-trained to disguise and cheat HKEX.

9.	In v	iew o	f paragraphs 72 and 73 of the Consultation Paper:
	(a)		you agree that controlling shareholders should be allowed to act as erwriters?
			Yes
			No
	If yo	ur ar	swer is "Yes", please give reasons for your views.
	(b)		you think that substantial (but not controlling) shareholders should be wed to act as underwriters?
			Yes
		V	No
	If yo	ur ar	swer is "Yes", please give reasons for your views.
10.			agree that compensatory arrangements should be mandatory when pre- offers are underwritten by connected persons?
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Yes	
		No	
	If yo	ur ar	swer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

11.	Do you agree with the proposal to remove the connected transaction exemption for underwriting (including sub-underwriting) of pre-emptive offers by connected persons?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
12.	Do you agree with the proposal to make it mandatory for issuers to adopt either the excess application arrangement or the compensatory arrangement in rights issues and open offers?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
13.	Do you agree with the proposal to limit the excess applications by a controlling shareholder and his/her/its associates to a maximum number equivalent to the offer shares minus their pro rata entitlements?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

14. Do you agree with our proposal to disallow the use of general mandate for placing of warrants and options for cash consideration?

	☑ Yes	
	□ No	
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	7
15.	Do you agree with the proposal to disallow any price discount of the initi conversion price of convertible securities to be placed under general mandate?	ial
	☑ Yes	
	□ No	
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	
]
16.	Do you agree with the proposal to require disclosure of the use of proceeds from all equity fundraisings in interim and annual reports?	m
	☑ Yes	
	□ No	
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	
	Quarterly reports are needed to shorten the information gap. To stretch more, all companies in Main Board are required to prepare quarterly reports.	
		J

17. Do you agree with the proposal to impose a minimum price requirement on subdivision or bonus issue of shares?

	V	⁄es
		No
	If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	HKD shoul board	es should not be traded in less than 1 HKD. Shares with price less than 1 should be consolidated to 10 HKD. Meanwhile, the tick for price movement ld be minimized so as to reduce the transaction cost. Also, the size of d lot should be standardized, e.g. 100 shares for all shares. (Like Tokyo Exchange requires 100-share trading unit)
18.	•	ou agree with the proposed minimum adjusted price of HK\$1? If not, what is reshold you consider appropriate: (a) HK\$0.5; or (b) other?
		HK\$1
		HK\$0.5
	Ø (Other (Please specify the appropriate threshold 10 HKD)
	If you	answer is "Other", please give reasons for your views.
	It sho	e price is in fact meaningless. Procesing decimal numbers is troublesome. buld not give a sense to inexperience investors that low share price is p and good to buy.
19.	•	u support a demonstration period of six months? If not, please specify the dyou consider appropriate.
		Yes
		No (Please specify the appropriate demonstration period)
	If you	r answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	No de	emostration period is needed. Just do it.