

## Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

<http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017092.pdf>

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1. Do you agree with the proposal to disallow highly dilutive pre-emptive offers unless there are exceptional circumstances?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

2. Do you agree with the proposed 25% threshold on value dilution? If not, what is the appropriate percentage threshold and the reasons for this threshold?

Yes

No  
(Please specify the appropriate percentage threshold \_\_\_\_\_)

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

3. Do you agree that the proposed requirements should also apply to share issuance under a specific mandate?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to aggregate rights issues, open offers and specific mandate placings within a rolling 12-month period?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

5. Do you agree with the proposed method of calculating cumulative value dilution? If not, what is the appropriate method?

Yes

No  
(Please specify the appropriate method \_\_\_\_\_)

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to extend the minority shareholder approval requirement to all open offers (unless the new securities are issued under the general mandate)?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

The proposal may not address the issues if the shareholders' turnouts at the general meetings to approve the open offers are low such that the open offers may easily get approved by those minority shareholders who attend the meetings (as described in paragraph 32 of the Consultation Paper).  
The proposal to make it mandatory for issuers to adopt either the excess application arrangement or the compensatory arrangement in rights issues and open offers should be able to address the issues.

7. Do you agree with the proposal to remove the underwriting requirement for pre-emptive offers?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

8. Do you agree with our proposal to require underwriters to be licensed persons independent from the issuers and their connected persons?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

Based on the reasons set out in paragraph 72 of the Consultation Paper, subject to mandatory compensatory arrangements.

9. In view of paragraphs 72 and 73 of the Consultation Paper:

(a) do you agree that controlling shareholders should be allowed to act as underwriters?

Yes

No

If your answer is "Yes", please give reasons for your views.

Based on the reasons set out in paragraph 72, but subject to mandatory compensatory arrangements and proposed removal of the connected transaction exemption for underwriting and sub-underwriting of pre-emptive offers by connected persons.

(b) do you think that substantial (but not controlling) shareholders should be allowed to act as underwriters?

Yes

No

If your answer is "Yes", please give reasons for your views.

Same reasons as response to Q9(a) above.

10. Do you agree that compensatory arrangements should be mandatory when pre-emptive offers are underwritten by connected persons?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

11. Do you agree with the proposal to remove the connected transaction exemption for underwriting (including sub-underwriting) of pre-emptive offers by connected persons?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

12. Do you agree with the proposal to make it mandatory for issuers to adopt either the excess application arrangement or the compensatory arrangement in rights issues and open offers?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

13. Do you agree with the proposal to limit the excess applications by a controlling shareholder and his/her/its associates to a maximum number equivalent to the offer shares minus their pro rata entitlements?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

14. Do you agree with our proposal to disallow the use of general mandate for placing of warrants and options for cash consideration?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

15. Do you agree with the proposal to disallow any price discount of the initial conversion price of convertible securities to be placed under general mandate?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

16. Do you agree with the proposal to require disclosure of the use of proceeds from all equity fundraisings in interim and annual reports?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

17. Do you agree with the proposal to impose a minimum price requirement on subdivision or bonus issue of shares?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

18. Do you agree with the proposed minimum adjusted price of HK\$1? If not, what is the threshold you consider appropriate: (a) HK\$0.5; or (b) other?

HK\$1

HK\$0.5

Other (Please specify the appropriate threshold \_\_\_\_\_)

If you answer is "Other", please give reasons for your views.

19. Do you support a demonstration period of six months? If not, please specify the period you consider appropriate.

Yes

No

(Please specify the appropriate demonstration period \_\_\_\_\_)

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

- End -