## Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017092.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1.		you agree with the proposal to disallow highly dilutive pre-emptive offers unless e are exceptional circumstances?	
		Yes	
		No	
	If yo	ur answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	
2.		you agree with the proposed 25% threshold on value dilution? If not, what is appropriate percentage threshold and the reasons for this threshold?	
	$\checkmark$	Yes	
		No (Please specify the appropriate percentage threshold)	
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.		

3.			ree that the proposed requirements should also apply to share issuance ecific mandate?
	$\square$	Yes	Unless there are special reasons for the particular circumstances that are in the interest of the shareholders as a whole.
		No	
	If yo	ur ans	wer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
	L	*	
4.		- T	gree with the proposal to aggregate rights issues, open offers and andate placings within a rolling 12-month period?
		Yes	
		No	
	If yo	ur ans	wer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
5.			ree with the proposed method of calculating cumulative value dilution? If s the appropriate method?
		Yes	
		No (Plea	ase specify the appropriate method)
	If yo	ur ans	wer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

6.	Do you a requireme general m	agree with the proposal to extend the minority shareholder approval ent to all open offers (unless the new securities are issued under the nandate)?
	☑ Yes	
	□ No	
	If your ans	swer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
7.	Do you a emptive o	gree with the proposal to remove the underwriting requirement for pre- ffers?
	☑ Yes	On the basis that at the option of the issuer, underwriting arrangement can be arranged.
	□ No	
	If your ans	swer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
8.		gree with our proposal to require underwriters to be licensed persons ent from the issuers and their connected persons?
	□ No	
	If your ans	swer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

9.	In v	iew of p	paragraphs 72 and 73 of the Consultation Paper:		
	(a)		ou agree that controlling shareholders should be allowed to act as rwriters?		
		$\square$	Yes		
			No		
	lf yc	ur ans	wer is "Yes", please give reasons for your views.		
	lon	g-tern	ting by controlling shareholders which is expected to take a n view of its holdings in the issuer is a signal of confidence in the nich is in the interest of the shareholders as a whole.		
	(b)	-	ou think that substantial (but not controlling) shareholders should be ed to act as underwriters?		
			Yes		
			No		
	If your answer is "Yes", please give reasons for your views.				
	On the basis that such substantial but not controlling shareholders can demonstrate he/it takes a long-term view as regards his/its holdings in the issuer.				
10.			ree that compensatory arrangements should be mandatory when pre- fers are underwritten by connected persons?		
		Yes	Compensatory arrangement is a U.K. model where there is no mechanism for excess application. Further, such model deprives participation of retail investors in the process. It would be facile assumption that the interests of institutional		
		No	investors and retail investors align in all respects.		
	If yo	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			

11.	und		ee with the proposal to remove the connected transaction exemption for g (including sub-underwriting) of pre-emptive offers by connected
		Yes	
		No	
	If yo	ur ansv	ver is "No", please give reasons for your views.
12.	the	excess	ee with the proposal to make it mandatory for issuers to adopt either application arrangement or the compensatory arrangement in rights open offers?
		Yes	But please note earlier comments regarding compensatory arrangement.
		No	
	If yo	ur ansv	ver is "No", please give reasons for your views.
		****	
13.	shar	eholde	ree with the proposal to limit the excess applications by a controlling and his/her/its associates to a maximum number equivalent to the minus their pro rata entitlements?
		Yes	Please see comments under 9 above.
		No	
	If yo	ur ansv	ver is "No", please give reasons for your views.

14.	Do you agree with our proposal to disallow the use of general mandate for placing of warrants and options for cash consideration?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
15.	Do you agree with the proposal to disallow any price discount of the initia conversion price of convertible securities to be placed under general mandate?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
16.	Do you agree with the proposal to require disclosure of the use of proceeds from all equity fundraisings in interim and annual reports?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

17.	Do you agree with the proposal to impose a minimum price requirement on subdivision or bonus issue of shares?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
18.	Do you agree with the proposed minimum adjusted price of HK\$1? If not, what is the threshold you consider appropriate: (a) HK\$0.5; or (b) other?
	□ HK\$1
	□ HK\$0.5
	☐ Other (Please specify the appropriate threshold)
	If you answer is "Other", please give reasons for your views.
	No comment.
19.	Do you support a demonstration period of six months? If not, please specify the period you consider appropriate.
	☑ Yes
	□ No (Please specify the appropriate demonstration period)
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.