

Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

<http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017092.pdf>

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1. Do you agree with the proposal to disallow highly dilutive pre-emptive offers unless there are exceptional circumstances?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

2. Do you agree with the proposed 25% threshold on value dilution? If not, what is the appropriate percentage threshold and the reasons for this threshold?

Yes

No

(Please specify the appropriate percentage threshold _____)

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

We have no strong view on this so long as the threshold can balance the legitimate needs of listed issuer to raise funds from the capital market, with the need to protect minority shareholders from abusive capital raising transactions.

3. Do you agree that the proposed requirements should also apply to share issuance under a specific mandate?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to aggregate rights issues, open offers and specific mandate placings within a rolling 12-month period?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

5. Do you agree with the proposed method of calculating cumulative value dilution? If not, what is the appropriate method?

Yes

No
(Please specify the appropriate method _____)

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

We have no strong view on this so long as the method can balance the legitimate needs of listed issuer to raise funds from the capital market, with the need to protect minority shareholders from abusive capital raising transactions.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to extend the minority shareholder approval requirement to all open offers (unless the new securities are issued under the general mandate)?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

We have no strong view on this so long as the proposal can balance the legitimate needs of listed issuer to raise funds from the capital market, with the need to protect minority shareholders from abusive capital raising transactions.

7. Do you agree with the proposal to remove the underwriting requirement for pre-emptive offers?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

8. Do you agree with our proposal to require underwriters to be licensed persons independent from the issuers and their connected persons?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

9. In view of paragraphs 72 and 73 of the Consultation Paper:

(a) do you agree that controlling shareholders should be allowed to act as underwriters?

Yes

No

If your answer is "Yes", please give reasons for your views.

There might be commercially justifiable reasons for doing so. Having said that, there should be controls in place to prevent controlling shareholders from taking unfair advantage over the minority shareholders.

(b) do you think that substantial (but not controlling) shareholders should be allowed to act as underwriters?

Yes

No

If your answer is "Yes", please give reasons for your views.

There might be commercially justifiable reasons for doing so. Having said that, there should be controls in place to prevent substantial shareholders from taking unfair advantage over the minority shareholders.

10. Do you agree that compensatory arrangements should be mandatory when pre-emptive offers are underwritten by connected persons?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

11. Do you agree with the proposal to remove the connected transaction exemption for underwriting (including sub-underwriting) of pre-emptive offers by connected persons?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

12. Do you agree with the proposal to make it mandatory for issuers to adopt either the excess application arrangement or the compensatory arrangement in rights issues and open offers?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

13. Do you agree with the proposal to limit the excess applications by a controlling shareholder and his/her/its associates to a maximum number equivalent to the offer shares minus their pro rata entitlements?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

14. Do you agree with our proposal to disallow the use of general mandate for placing of warrants and options for cash consideration?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

We have no strong view on this so long as the proposal can balance the legitimate needs of listed issuer to raise funds from the capital market, with the need to protect minority shareholders from abusive capital raising transactions.

15. Do you agree with the proposal to disallow any price discount of the initial conversion price of convertible securities to be placed under general mandate?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

16. Do you agree with the proposal to require disclosure of the use of proceeds from all equity fundraisings in interim and annual reports?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

17. Do you agree with the proposal to impose a minimum price requirement on subdivision or bonus issue of shares?

Yes

No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

18. Do you agree with the proposed minimum adjusted price of HK\$1? If not, what is the threshold you consider appropriate: (a) HK\$0.5; or (b) other?

HK\$1

HK\$0.5

Other (Please specify the appropriate threshold _____)

If you answer is "Other", please give reasons for your views.

We have no strong view on this.

19. Do you support a demonstration period of six months? If not, please specify the period you consider appropriate.

Yes

No

(Please specify the appropriate demonstration period 3 months)

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

6 months is too long.

- End -