Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017092.pdf

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

		you agree with the proposal to disallow highly dilutive pre-emptive offers unless e are exceptional circumstances?	The state of the s		
	☑	Yes			
		No			
	If yo	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			
<u>.</u> .	Do the	you agree with the proposed 25% threshold on value dilution? If not, what is appropriate percentage threshold and the reasons for this threshold?	;		
		Yes			
	Ø	No (Please specify the appropriate percentage threshold <u>20%</u>)			
	If yo	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			
	ma	1. We consider that, for an offer ratio of 50% for instance, a discount to market price of 60% or more should require the issuer to justify the extraodinary dilution.			
	thr	2. Alternatively, HKEX may adopt a higher shareholders' approval threshold, i.e. 75% as in the UK, to approve highly dilutive pre-emptive offers.			

uniu	you agree that the proposed requirements should also apply to share issuanger a specific mandate?			
Ø	Yes			
	No			
lf yo	your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			
	Do you agree with the proposal to aggregate rights issues, open offers and specific mandate placings within a rolling 12-month period?			
V	Yes			
	No			
lf yo	your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			
	ou agree with the proposed method of calculating cumulative value dilution? what is the appropriate method?			
I	Yes			
r <u>-</u>	No			
LJ	(Please specify the appropriate method			
□ if yo	(Please specify the appropriate methoduranswer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			

requirement to all open offers (unless the new securities are issued under the general mandate)?				
	Yes			
V	No			
If yo	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			
ince adv Free off sm min pre iss Ko	rease the time and cost of some fundraising process, which would versely impact the fund raising ability and flexibility of small issuers. om the statistics, over 80% of the issuers raising funds through openers had market capitalisation less than HK\$1 billion, which means that rall issuers highly rely on this method to raise funds and requiring the process of the statistics and requiring the statistics approval across the board would limit their reference and/or ability to raise funds. Further, Hong Kong attracts some users by its flexibility in raising funds. If this proposal is realized, Hong may lose some of its advantage over other markets in attracting other issuers.			
Suc	ch issuers.			
	you agree with the proposal to remove the underwriting requirement for pre- otive offers? Yes			
	No			
lf yc	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			
L				
	Do you agree with our proposal to require underwriters to be licensed persons independent from the issuers and their connected persons?			
Ø	Yes			
	No			
lf vo	our answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.			

111 V	iew of paragraphs 72 and 73 of the Consultation Paper:
(a)	do you agree that controlling shareholders should be allowed to act a underwriters?
	□ Yes
	☑ No
lf yc	our answer is "Yes", please give reasons for your views.
L	
(b)	do you think that substantial (but not controlling) shareholders should be allowed to act as underwriters?
	□ Yes
	☑ No
lf yc	our answer is "Yes", please give reasons for your views.
	you agree that compensatory arrangements should be mandatory when prestive offers are underwritten by connected persons?
4	Yes
	No

11.	Do you agree with the proposal to remove the connected transaction exemption for underwriting (including sub-underwriting) of pre-emptive offers by connected persons?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
12.	Do you agree with the proposal to make it mandatory for issuers to adopt either the excess application arrangement or the compensatory arrangement in rights issues and open offers?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
13.	Do you agree with the proposal to limit the excess applications by a controlling shareholder and his/her/its associates to a maximum number equivalent to the offer shares minus their pro rata entitlements?
	□ Yes
	☑ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

The legal principle of equal shareholder's rights should be respected when considering the proposed limitation. It would be unfair to limit the

excess applications by a controlling shareholder and his/her/its associates to a maximum number equivalent to the offer shares minus their pro rata entitlement, while there is no such limitation on the other shareholders.

14.	Do you agree with our proposal to disallow the use of general mandate for placing of warrants and options for cash consideration?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
15.	Do you agree with the proposal to disallow any price discount of the initia conversion price of convertible securities to be placed under general mandate?
	☑ Yes
	□ No
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.
16.	Do you agree with the proposal to require disclosure of the use of proceeds from all equity fundraisings in interim and annual reports?
	☑ Yes
	□ No

	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.		
17.	Do you agree with the proposal to impose a minimum price requirement on subdivision or bonus issue of shares?		
	☑ Yes		
	□ No		
	If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.		
	If your answer is two, please give reasons for your views.		
8.	Do you agree with the proposed minimum adjusted price of HK\$1? If not, what is the threshold you consider appropriate: (a) HK\$0.5; or (b) other?		
	☑ HK\$1		
	□ HK\$0.5		
	☐ Other (Please specify the appropriate threshold)		
	If you answer is "Other", please give reasons for your views.		
	If you allswer is Office, please give reasons for your views.		
9.	Do you support a demonstration period of six months? If not, please specify the period you consider appropriate.		
	☑ Yes		
	□ No		

(Please specify the appropriate demonstration period	
If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.	

- End -