

24.09.2016

I support the proposal because as a minority shareholder of a company with a controlling shareholder, I would like my rights to be protected. Let me give an example of my experience. I had bought shares in G-Resources (ticker 1051) because its main business was a gold miner (more than 99% of revenue and more than 99% of profit have been derived from gold mining). One day, the board of directors (aka. controlling shareholder) passed a resolution to sell its one and only gold mining subsidiary for a not so impressive price, without asking for minority shareholders' approval. To add salt to injury, the sales proceeds were not distributed as dividends. Controlling shareholders re-invested the proceeds in a lending business. All this happened despite minority shareholders' protest. Sure enough, the stock dropped to less than one-tenth of its peak because minority shareholders were selling in droves because of this unfairness. Even Blackrock funds was a minority shareholder and protested without success. Who is buying the cheap stocks now? The controlling shareholders, of course. They are in control and can decide when to distribute those hefty cash dividends when they have owned 'enough' stake of their company. HKEX and SFC, you have to work on minority shareholders' rights if you want HKEX to prosper and compete in the future.